Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic Volume XI, Number 2, April 1970

E AND S4

ROBERT K. MEYER

In [5], Anderson and Belnap use their system E of Entailment, enriched with sentential quantifiers, to develop modal and non-modal theories of enthymematic implication which coincide in part with theories based on the Lewis calculus S4 and the Heyting calculus HJ respectively. The present essay will show that the results relating E and S4 can be sharpened considerably. Specifically, I shall show that strict implication can be exactly defined in E in two different senses, and that these correspond to a strong and a weak form of S4-deducibility. A sequel will show that relations analogous to those established here hold between the non-modal analogue R of E and the systems HJ, HD, and HK.¹

I take all theories to be formulated in a common language L, with ' \rightarrow ', '&', ' \forall ', and '-' primitive, along with an unspecified *finite* number of sentential variables p_1, \ldots, p_n .² A, B, C, etc., shall be arbitrary formulas, built up as usual from sentential variables and primitive connectives. For all A and B, A = B shall serve as a definitional abbreviation of $(A \rightarrow B) \& (B \rightarrow A)$; in symbols, $A = B =_{df} (A \rightarrow B) \& (B \rightarrow A)$. I rank the connectives, including those defined below, thus in order of increasing scope: '&', 'v', ' \neg ', ' \neg ', ' \neg ', ' \equiv ', ' \equiv ', ' \equiv ', with '&' often omitted. Dots are sometimes used as in [11]. Otherwise omitted parentheses are restored by association to the left.

A formula A is in *apodictic form* iff (a) A is of the form $B \to C$, or (b) A is of the form B & C, where both B and C are in apodictic form. I sometimes write $A \square$ if A is in apodictic form.

I choose the following axioms for E.³

E1.	$A \rightarrow A$	Identity
E2.	$(A \to B) \to ((B \to C) \to (A \to C))$	Transitivity
E3.	$(A \to (A \to B)) \to (A \to B)$	Contraction
E4.	$(A \to (B \Box \to C)) \to (B \Box \to (A \to C))$	Restricted Permutation
Е5.	$AB \rightarrow A$	&E
E6.	$AB \rightarrow B$	& E
E7.	$(A \rightarrow B) (A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow BC)$	&I

Received September 30, 1968