Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic Volume XIV, Number 4, October 1973 NDJFAM

CONCERNING THE QUANTIFIER ALGEBRAS IN THE SENSE OF PINTER

BOLESŁAW SOBOCIŃSKI

1 In [3], *cf.* especially p. 362, section 2.1 and p. 365, section 4.1, C. C. Pinter formulated and investigated an algebraic system which he called the quantifier algebras and which, in conformity with the style used in [6], pp. 529-530, [5], p. 111, section 1, and [4], is defined here as follows:

(A) Any algebraic structure

$$\mathfrak{U} = \langle A, +, \times, -, 0, 1, \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{\kappa}, \mathbf{\exists}_{\kappa} \rangle_{\kappa, \lambda \sim \alpha}$$

where α is any ordinal number, + and \times are two binary operations, and -, S_{λ}^{\wedge} (for any ordinal numbers κ , $\lambda < \alpha$) and \exists_{κ} (for any ordinal number $\kappa < \alpha$) are the unary operations defined on the carrier set A, and 0 and 1 are two constant elements belonging to A, is a quantifier algebra of dimension α , if it satisfies the following postulates:

- Co the structure $(A, +, \times, -, 0, 1)$ is a Boolean algebra
- $Q1 \qquad [a \kappa \lambda] : a \epsilon A . \kappa, \ \lambda < \alpha . \supseteq . \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{\kappa}(-\alpha) = -\mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{\kappa} \alpha$

$$Q2 \qquad [ab\kappa\lambda]: a, b \in A . \kappa, \lambda < \alpha . \supset . S_{\lambda}^{\wedge}(a + b) = S_{\lambda}^{\wedge}a + S_{\lambda}^{\wedge}b$$

- $Q3 \qquad [a \kappa]: a \epsilon A \cdot \kappa < \alpha \cdot \supset \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{\kappa} a = a$
- $Q4 \qquad [a \kappa \lambda \mu] : a \epsilon A \cdot \kappa, \ \lambda, \ \mu < \alpha \cdot \supset \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{\kappa} \mathbf{S}_{\kappa}^{\mu} a = \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{\kappa} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{\mu} a$
- $Q5 \qquad [ab\kappa]: a, b \in A . \kappa < \alpha . \supset . \exists_{\kappa}(a+b) = \exists_{\kappa}a + \exists_{\kappa}b$
- $Q6 \qquad [a \kappa]: a \in A \, , \kappa < \alpha \, , \supset , a \leq \exists_{\kappa} a$
- $Q7 \qquad [a\kappa\lambda]: a \epsilon A \cdot \kappa, \ \lambda < \alpha \cdot \supset \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{\kappa} \mathbf{\exists}_{\kappa} a = \mathbf{\exists}_{\kappa} a$
- $Q8 \qquad [a \kappa \lambda]: a \epsilon A. \kappa, \ \lambda < \alpha . \kappa \neq \lambda. \supset. \exists_{\kappa} \mathsf{S}_{\lambda}^{\kappa} a = \mathsf{S}_{\lambda}^{\kappa} a$
- $Q9 \qquad [a \kappa \lambda \mu]: a \epsilon A \cdot \kappa, \ \lambda, \ \mu < \alpha \cdot \mu \neq \kappa, \ \lambda \cdot \supset . \ \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{\kappa} \overset{\frown}{\exists}_{\mu} a = \exists_{\mu} \mathbf{S}_{\lambda}^{\kappa} a$

Moreover, if, besides 0 and 1, the carrier set A of the structure \mathfrak{A} contains also the constant elements $\mathbf{e}_{\kappa\lambda}$ (for any ordinal numbers $\kappa, \lambda < \alpha$) such that \mathfrak{A} satisfies the following two additional postulates:

^{1.} An acquaintance with papers [3], [6] and [4] and with the symbolism used in [6] is presupposed.