Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic Volume XIV, Number 1, January 1973 NDJFAM

## EFFECTIVE EXTENDABILITY AND FIXED POINTS

## THOMAS H. PAYNE

Let  $\alpha$  be any sequence and let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots$  be a standard enumeration of the partial recursive functions. A p.r.f.  $\delta$  is said to be a *fixed-point algorithm* for  $\alpha$  if and only if  $\delta(n)$  is an  $\alpha$ -fixed point for  $\varphi_n$  (i.e.,  $n \in \text{Dom } \delta$ and  $\alpha(\delta(n)) = \alpha(\varphi_n(\delta(n)))$  whenever  $\varphi_n$  is total).  $\alpha$  has the *effective fixed-point property* if and only if  $\alpha$  has a total fixed-point algorithm. The purpose of this paper is to show that the effective fixed-point property is more properly viewed as an extendability property since:

(1)  $\alpha$  has the e.f.p.p. if and only if every partial recursive function  $\psi$  has a total recursive  $\alpha$ -extension f (i.e.,  $\alpha(f(n)) = \alpha(\psi(n))$  for all  $n \in \text{Dom } \psi$ ).

(2) There is a sequence having a fixed-point algorithm but not the e.f.p.p. (Hence totalness of the fixed-point algorithm is crucial to the e.f.p.p.)

(3) If there is a total recursive function f such that f(x) is an  $\alpha$ -fixed point of  $\varphi_x$  whenever  $\varphi_x$  is total and constant, then  $\alpha$  has the e.f.p.p. (Hence the fixed points are somewhat incidental to the e.f.p.p. since every sequence has a nontotal algorithm which finds fixed points for constant functions, for example,  $\lambda x [\varphi_x(1)]$ .)

Proof of 1. See [3], Lemma 1.1.

*Proof* of 2. We let  $\alpha$  be the canonical sequence of equivalence classes associated with the equivalence relation  $\approx$  constructed below. Along with  $\approx$  we construct a partial recursive function  $\psi$  having no total recursive  $\alpha$ -extension. Thus  $\alpha$  lacks the e.f.p.p. by (1).

Let  $T_1, T_2, \ldots$  be a recursive sequence of disjoint infinite recursive sets. Members of  $T_x$  are called *test values* for  $\varphi_x$ . Let f be a one to one recursive enumeration of  $\{\langle x, y \rangle | y \in \text{Dom } \varphi_x\}$ . We suppose that  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots$ are being constructed in stages so that  $\varphi_x(y)$  becomes defined at stage  $f^{-1}(\langle x, y \rangle)$  and at this stage we perform the following three steps in the construction of  $\approx$  and  $\psi$ :

(Step 1) If  $\varphi_x$  does not already have an  $\alpha$ -fixed point we give it one by letting  $y \approx \varphi_x(y)$  provided that we do not thereby cause the violation of a prohibition of order x or less.

(Step 2) If y is a test value of  $\varphi_x$  and  $\varphi_x$  agrees, modulo  $\approx$ , with  $\psi$ 

Received December 2, 1972