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Let $\alpha$ be any sequence and let $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots$ be a standard enumeration of the partial recursive functions. A p.r.f. $\delta$ is said to be a fixed-point algorithm for $\alpha$ if and only if $\delta(n)$ is an $\alpha$-fixed point for $\varphi_{n}$ (i.e., $n \in \operatorname{Dom} \delta$ and $\alpha(\delta(n))=\alpha\left(\varphi_{n}(\delta(n))\right)$ whenever $\varphi_{n}$ is total). $\alpha$ has the effective fixed-point property if and only if $\alpha$ has a total fixed-point algorithm. The purpose of this paper is to show that the effective fixed-point property is more properly viewed as an extendability property since:
(1) $\alpha$ has the e.f.p.p. if and only if every partial recursive function $\psi$ has a total recursive $\alpha$-extension $f$ (i.e., $\alpha(f(n))=\alpha(\psi(n))$ for all $n \in \operatorname{Dom} \psi$ ).
(2) There is a sequence having a fixed-point algorithm but not the e.f.p.p. (Hence totalness of the fixed-point algorithm is crucial to the e.f.p.p.)
(3) If there is a total recursive function $f$ such that $f(x)$ is an $\alpha$-fixed point of $\varphi_{x}$ whenever $\varphi_{x}$ is total and constant, then $\alpha$ has the e.f.p.p. (Hence the fixed points are somewhat incidental to the e.f.p.p. since every sequence has a nontotal algorithm which finds fixed points for constant functions, for example, $\lambda x\left[\varphi_{x}(1)\right]$.)
Proof of 1. See [3], Lemma 1.1.
Proof of 2. We let $\alpha$ be the canonical sequence of equivalence classes associated with the equivalence relation $\approx$ constructed below. Along with $\approx$ we construct a partial recursive function $\psi$ having no total recursive $\alpha$-extension. Thus $\alpha$ lacks the e.f.p.p. by (1).

Let $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots$ be a recursive sequence of disjoint infinite recursive sets. Members of $T_{x}$ are called test values for $\varphi_{x}$. Let $f$ be a one to one recursive enumeration of $\left\{\langle x, y\rangle \mid y \in \operatorname{Dom} \varphi_{x}\right\}$. We suppose that $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots$ are being constructed in stages so that $\varphi_{x}(y)$ becomes defined at stage $f^{-1}(\langle x, y\rangle)$ and at this stage we perform the following three steps in the construction of $\approx$ and $\psi$ :
(Step 1) If $\varphi_{x}$ does not already have an $\alpha$-fixed point we give it one by letting $y \approx \varphi_{x}(y)$ provided that we do not thereby cause the violation of a prohibition of order $x$ or less.
(Step 2) If $y$ is a test value of $\varphi_{x}$ and $\varphi_{x}$ agrees, modulo $\approx$, with $\psi$

