Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic Volume XIII, Number 3, July 1972 NDJFAM

## KRIPKE'S AXIOMATIZATION OF S2

## CHARLES F. KIELKOPF

The purpose of this note is to call attention to a slight inaccuracy in Kripke's axiomatization of S2 in [1]. I shall point out that if a certain unstated restriction on axiom generation is not followed, Kripke's axiomatization admits the provability of  $\Box^n(p\supset p)$ , where  $\Box^n$  indicates a sequence of n necessity signs. And, of course, although  $\Box(p\supset p)$  is an S2 theorem,  $\Box\Box(p\supset p)$  is not, let alone  $\Box^n(p\supset p)$  for all n.

On p. 208 of [1], Kripke presents an axiomatization of S2 in the following way. He first says that there will be axiom schemata sufficient for classical propositional calculus. He then adds two axiom schemata.

(A1) 
$$(\Box A \supset A)$$

(A3) 
$$\square(A \supset B) \supset (\square A \supset \square B)$$

Then he has a rule for generating axioms, and here I shall quote because this is the rule that must be restricted. The rule reads:

if B is an axiom and A is any formula then  $\Box A \supset \Box B$  is an axiom.

I shall call this rule **AG** for axiom generator. In this system *Modus ponens* is the sole rule of proof. In a footnote on p. 208 of [1] he sketches a proof that the axiom system obtained so far is equivalent to Lemmon's E2 of [2]. He then claims that S2 is obtained if  $\Box(A \supset A)$  is added as an axiom schema. Let us call  $\Box(A \supset A)$  axiom schema (2). On p. 218 of [1], Kripke shows that S2 obtained in this way is equivalent to Lemmon's version of S2 in [2].

However, if one is not careful in using AG, it is easy to be misled into thinking that  $\Box\Box(p\supset p)$  can be proved in the following way. (Here "p" is an object language sign and so is " $\supset$ " when used with "p".)

- (1)  $\Box(p \supset p)$  An axiom by schema (2)
- (2)  $\Box(p \supset p) \supset \Box\Box(p \supset p)$  Rule **AG** applied to (1) to get (2) as an axiom
- (3)  $\Box\Box(p\supset p)$  Modus ponens on (2) and (1)

We can now go on to prove  $\Box^n(p \supset p)$  for all n. For instance, we can prove  $\Box^3(p \supset p)$  as follows: