Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic Volume XIII, Number 3, July 1972 NDJFAM

## AXIOMATIC, SEQUENZEN-KALKUL, AND SUBORDINATE PROOF VERSIONS OF S9

## ARNOLD VANDER NAT

**1.1** The System S9. In [8] the system S9 was presented with primitive connectives  $\sim$ , &, and  $\bowtie$  as S3 plus the axioms

- (a)  $\sim p \lor ((\sim (p \And \sim p) \bowtie p) \lor (p \bowtie (p \bowtie p)))),$
- (b)  $\sim p \lor ((p \bowtie p) \bowtie p),$
- (c)  $(p \bowtie p) \bowtie \sim (\sim (p \bowtie p) \bowtie (p \bowtie p)),$

the rules being Substitution, Strict Detachment, and Adjunction.<sup>1</sup> A simpler formulation of S9 can be given, however, in that (b) is redundant and (a) and (c) can be simplified. If we abbreviate  $\sim x \mapsto x$  by  $\Box x$  and  $\sim (x \& \sim y)$  by  $x \supset y$ , then in S3,  $x \mapsto y$  is strictly equivalent (s.e.) to  $\Box (x \supset y)$  and  $x \mapsto x$  is s.e. to  $\Box t$ , where t is any tautology of classical two-valued logic, PC. Thus, in S3 the axioms (a), (b), and (c) are s.e. to

- (d)  $\sim (p \bowtie \Box t) \supset (p \supset \Box p),$ (e)  $p \supset (\Box t \bowtie p),$
- (f)  $\Box t \mapsto \sim \Box \Box t$

respectively. Now (f) is derivable from  $\sim \Box \Box t$  and (e), and (e) is derivable from  $\sim \Box \Box t$  and (d). The latter is shown as follows. The formula  $(\sim p \bowtie q) \&$  $(p \bowtie q) \bowtie \Box q$  is provable in S3, so that  $\sim \Box \Box t \bowtie ((\sim p \bowtie \Box t) \supset \sim (p \bowtie \Box t))$  is provable in S3. Hence, by  $\sim \Box \Box t$  and (d) we have  $(\sim p \bowtie \Box t) \supset (p \supset \Box p)$ . Substituting  $\Box t \supset p$  for p and detaching  $\sim (\Box t \supset p) \bowtie \Box t$ , we have  $(\Box t \supset p) \supset$  $(\Box t \bowtie p)$ , which yields (e) by a two-valued tautology. Hence, in S3 (a), (b), and (c) are derivable from  $\sim \Box \Box t$  and  $\sim (p \bowtie \Box t) \supset (p \supset \Box p)$ , and *vice versa*. A simpler formulation of S9 in  $\sim$ , &, and  $\bowtie$  is thus S3 plus

- $(g) \sim (\sim (p \bowtie p) \bowtie (p \bowtie p))$
- $(h) \sim (p \bowtie (p \bowtie p)) \supset (p \supset (\sim p \bowtie p)).$

1.2 It is desirable to present yet another formulation of S9: a Lemmon

Received December 13, 1969

<sup>1.</sup> For a detailed discussion of S9 see [8].