Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic Volume XVII, Number 2, April 1976 NDJFAM

## A SHORT EQUATIONAL AXIOMATIZATION OF ORTHOMODULAR LATTICES

## BOLESŁAW SOBOCIŃSKI

By definition, cf. e.g., [2], p. 53, an orthomodular lattice is an ortholattice satisfying the following formula:<sup>1</sup>

 $K1 \quad [ab]:a, b \in A . a \leq b . \supset a \cup (a^{\perp} \cap b) = b$ 

In this note it will be proved that:

(A) Any algebraic system

$$\mathfrak{A} = \langle A, \cup, \cap, \bot \rangle$$

where  $\cup$  and  $\cap$  are two binary operations and <sup>1</sup> is a unary operation defined on the carrier set A, is an orthomodular lattice, if it satisfies the following three mutually independent postulates:

- $C1 \quad [abcd]:a, b, c, d \in A : \supset a \cup ((a \cup ((b \cup c) \cup d)) \cap a^{\perp}) = ((d^{\perp} \cap c^{\perp})^{\perp} \cup b) \cup a$  $C2 \quad [ab]:a, b \in A : \supset a = a \cup (b \cap b^{\perp})$
- C3  $[ab]:a, b \in A$   $\supset a = a \cap (a \cup b)^2$

*Proof of* (**A**):

1 Clearly, postulates C2 and C3 are the theses of any ortholattice. It remains to prove that, in the field of an arbitrary ortholattice, C1 is inferentially equivalent to formula K1.

1.1 First, we shall prove that in the field of any lattice KI is inferentially equivalent to formula RI given below.

1.1.1 Assume L. Then we have at our disposal:

<sup>1.</sup> Throughout this paper A indicates an arbitrary but fixed carrier set, L a lattice, and OL an ortholattice. The so-called closure axioms are assumed tacitly.

<sup>2.</sup> Of course, in this postulate-system the operations  $\cup$ ,  $\cap$  and  $^{\perp}$  are not mutually independent.