

## Careful Choices—A Last Word on Borel Selectors

To the memory of C. D. Papakyriakopoulos

JOHN P. BURGESS

*Selector theory* as surveyed in [13] and [14] deals with the following problem (instances of which arise in control theory, probability, mathematical economics, operator theory, etc.): We are given a *multifunction*  $F$  between reasonable spaces  $T$  and  $X$  (a map assigning each  $t \in T$  a nonempty  $F(t) \subseteq X$ ) and seek an ordinary function  $f$  from  $T$  to  $X$  with acceptable measurability properties constituting a *selector* for  $F$  (satisfying  $f(t) \in F(t)$  for all  $t$ ). Of course, the Axiom of Choice says that a selector exists; but to get a measurable one, we need to impose hypotheses on  $F$  and choose “carefully”. The past few years have seen much progress (cf. [10], [11], [14]) on the Borel case of the selector problem. In this case we assume  $X$  is a *Polish* topological space (one admitting a countable basis and a complete metric) and  $T$  at least a *Suslin* space (homeomorph of an analytic subspace of a Polish space). Our goal is to find weak hypotheses on  $F$  guaranteeing the existence of a *Borel-measurable* selector  $f$  (one for which  $f^{-1}[U]$  is Borel in  $T$  whenever  $U$  is open in  $X$ ).

The present paper\* shows that substantial improvements of existing results on the Borel selector problem can be achieved through application of ideas developed by Vaught in his prize-winning studies [12] on the model theory of infinitary logic. The precise statement of the result obtained is given in Section 3 below. Thanks to certain counterexamples, we can say that this result is in many ways “best possible”. Selector theory is thus a relatively down-to-earth area of mathematics where methods from modern logical research can be fruitfully applied.

---

\*The author is indebted to Dan Mauldin, Douglas Miller, Shashi Srivastava, and Daniel Wagner for many helpful discussions.