

TWO REMARKS ON THE LOGIC OF SIGNIFICANCE AND CONTEXT

ROSS T. BRADY

I wish to make two remarks on two points that Goddard and Routley made in Chapter 5 of their book, *The Logic of Significance and Context* [6]. The first remark is that the Brady disjunction, 'V',¹ does have applications in ordinary discourse, in answer to the doubts about this expressed by them on pp. 344-5 of [6]. I will defend this position, which I originally made in [1] on p. 30, and also in [2], pp. 172-3, by showing that each of four examples can be interpreted in a way which requires 'V' to be used in its formalization. The second remark is that the need for a functionally complete significance logic such as their system S_5 (or S_6) seems very limited. I show this by examining each of their five arguments for a functionally complete system on pp. 348-351 of [6] and by showing how their weaker significance-complete (for definition, see [6], p. 326) system S_3 (or S_4) will suffice, except in exceptional circumstances, to express what they suppose a functionally complete system is needed for.

1 *The Brady disjunction 'V'*

1.1 *Two predicate disjunction examples* I will consider two examples of predicate disjunctions, of the form ' $(f \text{ or } g)x$ '. I will argue that they can be formalized as a sentential disjunction in the form ' $fx \text{ V } gx$ ' and I will consider the extent to which 'V' can be used in formalizing predicate disjunctions.

The reason I am dealing with predicate disjunctions is that these present clearer cases where 'V' can be used in their formalization than do the sentential disjunctions, of the form ' $f \text{ or } g$ '. I do think, though, that there are many sentential disjunctions which also use 'V' in their formalization, as they have the same interpretation as their corresponding predicate disjunction. Indeed, I want to reject my claim in [1] and [2] that the classical disjunction ' \vee ' should be used for formalizing ' x is a holiday or x likes cheese'.

The first example I consider is the predicate disjunction (scheme) ' x is a holiday or likes cheese', which is my example from [1] and [2]. Note that