

Minimally Incomplete Sets of Łukasiewiczian Truth Functions

HERBERT E. HENDRY*

By an *n-valued truth function* we shall understand a function on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If such a function is closed on the set $\{1, n\}$, it will be said to be *pure*. And, if it can be defined by composition from \neg and \rightarrow , it will be referred to as *Łukasiewiczian*. Here:

$$\neg p = (n - p) + 1$$

and

$$(p \rightarrow q) = \max[1, (q - p) + 1].$$

In [3] it is proved, for the three-valued case, that the set of Łukasiewiczian functions and the set of pure functions are one and the same. It is also observed, again in the three-valued case, that if f is non-Łukasiewiczian, then $\{\neg, \rightarrow, f\}$ is functionally complete (i.e., all three-valued functions can be defined by composition from \neg , \rightarrow , and f). The import of the latter result is that although \neg and \rightarrow are together functionally incomplete, their incompleteness is *minimal*. That is, when $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$ is supplemented with a “new” function, the resulting set is always functionally complete.

It is the purpose of the present essay to establish a more general result from which the previous two can be derived as corollaries:

Theorem 3 *The following are equivalent if $2 < n$:*

*I am grateful to my colleague Herbert G. Bohnert for several helpful suggestions. Thanks are also due to the referee who discovered an error in the original version and to the editor for suggestions that resulted in an improved exposition.