## Automorphisms of ω-Octahedral Graphs

## J. C. E. DEKKER

*I Preliminaries* This paper is closely related to [2] which deals with automorphisms of the  $\omega$ -graph  $Q_N$  associated with the  $\omega$ -cube  $Q^N$  and [3] which deals with the  $\omega$ -graph  $Oc_N$  associated with the  $\omega$ -octahedron  $Oc^N$ . We use the notations, terminology, and results of [2]. The propositions of [2] are referred to as A1.1, A1.2, ..., A2.1, A2.2, ... etc., those of [3] as B1.1, B1.2, ..., B2.1, B2.2, ... etc.

For  $n \ge 1$  the *n*-octahedral graph is defined as the complete *n*-partite graph  $K(2, \ldots, 2)$  with two vertices in each of its partite sets ([4], p. 69). Let  $Oc_n$  have  $\mu = (0, ..., 2n - 1)$  as set of vertices and ((0, 1), ..., (2n - 2, 2n - 1))as class of its partite sets. Define f as the permutation of  $\mu$  which for  $0 \le k \le$ n-1 interchanges 2k and 2k+1. Call the vertices p and q of  $Oc_n$  opposite, if they correspond to each other under f, then p and q are adjacent, iff they are not opposite. Throughout this paper the symbols v,  $v_0$ ,  $v_1$  denote nonempty sets, and  $\mu$  and  $\mu_{\nu}$  stand for sets of cardinality  $\geq 2$ . An *involution without fixed* points (abbreviated: iwfp) of a set  $\mu$  is a permutation f of  $\mu$  such that  $f^2 = i_{\mu}$ and  $f(x) \neq x$ , for  $x \in \mu$ . The iwfp f of  $\mu$  is an  $\omega$ -iwfp, if it has a partial recursive one-to-one extension. With every imp f of  $\mu$  we associate a graph  $G_f = \langle \mu, \theta \rangle$ , where  $\theta$  consists of all numbers  $can(x, y) \in [\mu; 2]$  such that  $f(x) \neq y$ . Note that the iwfp f is uniquely determined by  $G_f$ . The graph  $G = \langle \mu, \theta \rangle$  is octahedral, if  $G = G_f$ , for some iwfp f of  $\mu$ . The octahedral graph  $G_f = \langle \mu, \theta \rangle$  is  $\omega$ -octahedral, if f is an  $\omega$ -iwfp of  $\mu$ . The vertices p and q of the octahedral graph  $G_f$  are opposite, if f(p) = q; thus p and q are adjacent iff they are not opposite. According to B2.2 an  $\omega$ -octahedral graph  $G_f = \langle \mu, \theta \rangle$  is a uniform  $\omega$ -graph for which there exists a nonzero RET N such that  $Req \mu = 2N$  and  $Req \theta =$ 2N(N-1). Define the functions  $d_0$  and  $d_1$  by:  $\delta d_0 = \delta d_1 = \varepsilon$ ,  $d_0(x) = 2x$ ,  $d_1(x) = 2x + 1$ . With every set v we associate the sets  $v_0 = d_0(v)$ ,  $v_1 = d_1(v)$ , and  $\mu_{\nu} = \nu_0 \cup \nu_1$ . The standard  $\omega$ -iwfp associated with the set  $\nu$  is the  $\omega$ -iwfp f of  $\mu_{\nu}$ such that f(2x) = 2x + 1 and f(2x + 1) = 2x, for  $x \in v$ . The standard  $\omega$ octahedral graph  $Oc_{\nu}$  associated with the set  $\nu$  is the  $\omega$ -graph  $G_f = \langle \mu_{\nu}, \theta_{\nu} \rangle$ ,

Received May 27, 1981