

## Polymorphism and Apartness

DAVID CHARLES McCARTY

**Abstract** Using traditional intuitionistic concepts such as apartness and subcountability, we give a relatively simple and direct construction of a natural, set-theoretic model for the second-order polymorphic lambda calculus, a model distinct from that of the modest sets.

**1 Introduction** The concept of apartness is an intuitionistic “positivization” of the classical notion of inequality between real numbers. In classical mathematics, every set has an apartness defined over it: apartness and inequality coincide. Intuitionistically, things can be *very* different—it is consistent with the full intuitionistic set theory IZF to assume that every apartness space is *subcountable*, i.e., a quotient of a set of natural numbers. What follows almost immediately from this is a relatively simple and direct set-theoretic construction of a natural model for the second-order polymorphic lambda calculus  $\mathbf{P}\lambda$ .

Working within the Kleene realizability universe  $\mathcal{V}(KI)$  for set theory, we construct a small category  $\mathcal{C}$  of sets which allow apartness and which, thanks to the presence of local axioms of choice, constitute a natural model of  $\mathbf{P}\lambda$ . This affords us another clear indication of the mathematical advantages of intuitionistic over classical metamathematics: using classical metamathematics, Reynolds (in [27]) has shown that, on pain of violating Cantor’s uncountability theorems, there can be no natural set-theoretic models of  $\mathbf{P}\lambda$ .

Our construction is one of a number of intuitionistic models for  $\mathbf{P}\lambda$  (cf. Pitts [24], Longo and Moggi [16]). The most popular of these is constructed over the category of realizability-valued modest sets  $\mathcal{M}$ . The model  $\mathcal{C}$  of the present paper is distinct from that of modest sets: we prove that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a proper subcategory of  $\mathcal{M}$  in that the set of objects of the former is a proper subset of that of the latter. Second—and more importantly—our model construction does not leave one with a faulty impression that has been fostered, we think, by the details of the mathematics of  $\mathcal{M}$ : that the existence of models of the polymorphic lambda cal-

*Received February 13, 1990; revised January 4, 1991*