Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic Volume 32, Number 2, Spring 1991

## Preservation by Homomorphisms and Infinitary Languages

## TAPANI HYTTINEN

**Abstract** In this paper we study when sentences of infinitary languages are preserved by homomorphisms. This is done by using generalized Henkin construction. By the same technique we can also study when a sentence has an equivalent sentence which is in normal form.

The so-called Hintikka game, which is a straightforward generalization of Henkin construction, is used in, e.g., Hyttinen [1], [2] and Oikkonen [6]. In this paper we refine the game and prove a preservation theorem by using it.

Throughout this paper we assume that  $\kappa$  is weakly compact. This is done because in the proofs we construct certain trees, which have no branches of length  $\geq \kappa$  and no nodes that have  $\geq \kappa$  immediate successors, and then under the assumption that  $\kappa$  is weakly compact we know that the trees are of cardinality  $< \kappa$ .

We begin this chapter by defining the language  $M_{\kappa\kappa}$ . This language was first defined and studied by M. Karttunen in [4]. By a  $\lambda \kappa$ -tree T we mean a tree such that each node has  $< \lambda$  immediate successors, there are no branches of length  $\geq \kappa$  and if x and y are limit nodes and  $\{t \in T \mid t < x\} = \{t \in T \mid t < y\}$  then x = y.

## **1.1 Definition** $\phi = (T, l)$ is a formula of $M_{\kappa\kappa}$ if

- T is a κκ-tree without branches of limit length, i.e. every branch has a maximal element;
- (2) l is a labeling function with the properties
  - a: if  $t \in T$  does not have any successors then l(t) is either an atomic or negated atomic formula;
  - b: if  $t \in T$  has exactly one immediate successor then l(t) is of the form  $\exists x$  or  $\forall x, x$  variable;
  - c: if  $t \in T$  has more than one immediate successor then l(t) is either  $\lor$  or  $\land$ .

Received October 30, 1989; revised January 15, 1990