## SINGLE AXIOM SCHEMATA FOR D AND S

## THOMAS W. SCHARLE

Given below\* are the axiom schemata D and S, which are each sufficient for a complete propositional calculus based on a Sheffer functor,\* with the appropriate rule of detachment, I or I\*. The schemata were inspired by the axiom of Łukasiewicz [2] for a propositional calculus with variables for propositional functions. But here we axiomatize precisely propositional calculus, not the slightly larger system of Łukasiewicz, and therefore have no need for a rule of substitution for functional variables. Further, it should be remarked that although the correspondence between the two approaches is close, such an axiomatization as that of Meredith [3], viz.,  $C\delta\delta O\delta p$ , does not admit of an easy interpretation as an axiom schema.

Łukasiewicz' axiom is essentially a "law of bivalency":  $C\delta COOC\delta O\delta p$ . We will modify this to adapt it to our purposes, to the forms:

$$D D(\alpha:\alpha/\beta)DD(\alpha:\alpha/D\beta\beta)D(\alpha:\alpha/\gamma)(\alpha:\alpha/\gamma)D(\alpha:\alpha/D\beta\beta)D(\alpha:\alpha/\gamma)(\alpha:\alpha/\gamma)$$

5 
$$SSS(\alpha:\alpha/\beta)(\alpha:\alpha/\beta)SSS(\alpha:\alpha/S\beta\beta)(\alpha:\alpha/S\beta\beta)(\alpha:\alpha/\gamma)SS(\alpha:\alpha/S\beta\beta)$$
  
 $(\alpha:\alpha/S\beta\beta)(\alpha:\alpha/\gamma)SS(\alpha:\alpha/\beta)(\alpha:\alpha/\beta)SSS(\alpha:\alpha/S\beta\beta)(\alpha:\alpha/S\beta\beta)$   
 $(\alpha:\alpha/\gamma)SS(\alpha:\alpha/S\beta\beta)(\alpha:\alpha/S\beta\beta)(\alpha:\alpha/\gamma)$ 

And our rules of detachment are to be the relatively weak rules

In our axiom schemata, and throughout this paper, we use the following conventions: Lower case Greek letters are variables for well formed formulas; lower case German letters are variables for the propositional variables (which are lower case Latin letters); an expression of the kind  $\alpha:\alpha/\beta$  means the formula resulting from the formula  $\alpha$  by substitution of the formula  $\beta$  for every occurrence of the variable  $\alpha$  in  $\alpha$ ; an asterisk indicates replacement of D by S throughout the formula in question.

<sup>\*</sup>The terminology here used is largely explained in [4]. The suggestion that these axiomatizations be treated as schemata is due to Prof. Sobociński.