

## A NOTE CONCERNING THE AXIOM OF CHOICE

BOLESŁAW SOBOCIŃSKI

It is well known<sup>1</sup> that in the set theory the following theorem is provable without the use of the axiom of choice:

I. If  $m$  is a cardinal number and  $\aleph$  is an aleph such that  $\aleph \leq m$ , then  $m = \aleph + m$ .

It is interesting to note that an analogous formula for the multiplication of cardinals, viz.:

II. If  $m$  is a cardinal number and  $\aleph$  is an aleph such that  $\aleph \leq m$ , then  $m = \aleph m$ , is equivalent to the axiom of choice.

*Proof:* It is evident that this axiom implies II. Now, assume II and that  $m$  is an arbitrary cardinal number which is not finite. Put  $n = \aleph_0 m$ . Hence,  $n = n + 1$ . We know that for  $n$  one can construct, without resorting to the axiom of choice a Hartogs' aleph  $\aleph(n)$ , i.e. an aleph which is not  $\leq n$ .<sup>2</sup> Since, generally we have  $\aleph(n) \leq n + \aleph(n)$ , then by the application of II we get:  $n + \aleph(n) = \aleph(n) \cdot (n + \aleph(n)) = \aleph(n) n + \aleph(n)^2 = \aleph(n) n + \aleph(n) = \aleph(n) (n + 1) = \aleph(n) n$ , i.e. we obtain the formula

$$n + \aleph(n) = \aleph(n) n$$

which implies that either  $n \geq \aleph(n)$  or  $\aleph(n) \geq n$ .<sup>3</sup> Since the first possibility is excluded, we have  $\aleph(n) \geq n = \aleph_0 m \geq m$ . Hence  $m$  is an aleph and the theorem is proved.

## NOTES

[1] Cf. Waclaw Sierpiński: Cardinal and ordinal numbers. *Monografie matematyczne*, tom 34; Warszawa 1958, p. 413, Exercise 1.

[2] Cf. W. Sierpiński, op. cit., pp. 407-409.

[3] Cf. A. Tarski: *Sur quelques théorèmes qui équivalent à l'axiome du choix*. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vol. 5 (1924), pp. 147-154, lemme 1.