## ON THE INDEPENDENCE OF CERTAIN AXIOMS IN THE DEFINITION OF AN m-ARRANGEMENT

## MICHAEL C. GEMIGNANI

It was shown in [1] that of the axioms defining an m-arrangement, i.e. 3.1-3.9, 3.4, 3.5 and 3.9 are independent. The purpose of this note is to show that after certain trivial modifications, 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 are also independent. 3.7 and 3.8 are known to be independent as a pair [1]. Nothing is yet known about the independence of 3.6; indeed, this particular problem seems to be extremely complex.

The following modifications of 3.1-3.9 are called for. They in no way affect any of the proof in [1].

- **3.5:** If x,y and z are distinct points of a 1-flat and if  $\overline{xy}$ ,  $\overline{xz}$  and  $\overline{yz}$  all exist, then  $\overline{xy} \cup \overline{yz} = \overline{xy}$ ,  $\overline{yz}$ , or  $\overline{xz}$ .
- **3.6:** If  $S = \{x_0, \ldots, x_k\}$  is linearly independent and  $k \ge 2$ , then ...
- **3.7:** If C(S) is a k-simplex,  $k \ge 2$ , . . .
- **3.8:** If C(S) is a k-simplex,  $k \ge 2, ...$

## If 3.1-3.5 hold, then 3.6-3.8 are theorems for k = 1.

The independence of 3.1: Let X = [0,1], the closed interval between 0 and 1 with its usual topology. Set  $F^0 = \{\{x\} \mid x \in (0,1)\} \cup \{\{0,1\}\}, G = \{F^{-1}, F^0\}$ .

The independence of **3.2**: Since the definition of a topological geometry involves two distinct assumptions, two counterexamples are required:

- a) Each flat is closed: Let  $X = \{a,b,c\}$  with the topology  $\{\{a,b,c\}, \{a,b\}, \{b,c\}, \{b\}, \phi\}$ . Set  $F^0 = \{\{x\} | x \in X\}, G = \{F^{-1}, F^0\}$ .
- b) The intersection of any family of convex sets is convex: Let  $X = \{(x,y) \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with the usual topology. Set  $F^0 = \{\{x\} \mid x \in X\}, G = \{F^{-1}, F^0\}$ .

The independence of 3.3: Let X be the set of real numbers with the discrete topology. Set  $F^0 = \{\{x\} | x \in X\}, G = \{F^{-1}, F^0\}.$