

A NOTE ON IMMUNE SETS

JOHN W. BERRY

In [1] the following sequence of theorems were proved, which were clearly inspired by the fact that an infinite set is immune iff it has no infinite recursively enumerable subset.* (Throughout this paper "set" means "subset of \mathfrak{N} " where \mathfrak{N} denotes the set of non-negative integers.)

Theorem 1: *Let A be an infinite set. Then:*

- (a) *A is hyperimmune iff it has no strongly finitely almost recursively enumerable infinite subset*
- (b) *A is hyperhyperimmune iff it has no finitely almost recursively enumerable infinite subset*
- (c) *A is strongly hyperhyperimmune iff it has no almost recursively enumerable infinite subset.*

Definitions of these concepts may all be found in [1]; however we recall the most important of them here. An injective total function on \mathfrak{N} is called *almost recursive* if its inverse has a partial recursive extension. A set is called *almost recursively enumerable* (hereafter abbreviated a.r.e.) if it is finite or the range of an almost recursive function; and is called *almost recursive* (abbreviated a.r.) if it is finite or the range of a strictly increasing almost recursive function. If $f(x)$ is a recursive function, the sequence of sets

$$\omega_{f(0)}, \omega_{f(1)}, \dots$$

is called a *disjoint array* if $\bigwedge_i \bigwedge_j [i \neq j \rightarrow \omega_{f(i)} \cap \omega_{f(j)} = \emptyset]$ and $\bigwedge_i [\omega_{f(i)} \neq \emptyset]$. It is called a *finite disjoint array* if in addition: $\bigwedge_i [\omega_{f(i)} \text{ is a finite set}]$.

We shall use an effective enumeration of all finite sets denoted by

*These results constitute part of the author's doctoral dissertation presented to the University of Notre Dame in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Mathematics, April 1970. The author would like to thank Dr. V. Vučković for his advice and encouragement during its preparation.