

SOLUTIONS TO FOUR MODAL PROBLEMS OF SOBOCIŃSKI

G. F. SCHUMM

In Sobociński's [1], [2], and [3] several questions are left open, among them

- Q1. Is K1.1.1 a proper extension of K1.1?
 Q2. Is K2.2 a proper extension of K2.1?
 Q3. Is S4.1.1 a proper extension of S4.1?
 Q4. Is S4.1.3 a proper extension of S4.1.2?

All four questions are here settled in the negative, a familiarity with these three papers being presupposed. We shall also assume a nodding acquaintance with Kripkean relational models and with the fact that α is a thesis of S4 if and only if for every S4 model $\mathfrak{F} = (\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$, i.e., in which \mathfrak{R} is a reflexive and transitive relation on \mathfrak{U} , $\phi(\alpha, \mathfrak{U}) = 1$ for each valuation ϕ on \mathfrak{F} .

Ad Q1 and Q2. We shall show that

$$CLCLCLCCpLqLCpLqCpLqCpLqCLCLCpLqLqCLCLCNpLqLqLq$$

is validated by every S4 model and thus is a thesis of S4, from which it follows that Grzegorzczyk's axiom $CLCLCpLqLqCLCLCNpLqLqLq$ is a thesis of K1.1 and K2.2.

Suppose ϕ is a valuation on an S4 model $(\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$ such that

$$\phi(CLCLCpLqLqCLCLCNpLqLqLq, \mathfrak{U}) = 0,$$

from which

$$\phi(LCLCpLqLq, \mathfrak{U}) = 1 \tag{1}$$

$$\phi(LCLCNpLqLq, \mathfrak{U}) = 1 \tag{2}$$

$$\phi(Lq, \mathfrak{U}) = 0. \tag{3}$$

The task is now to show that

$$\phi(LCLCLCCpLqLCpLqCpLqCpLq, \mathfrak{U}) = 0, \tag{4}$$

and this will complete the proof. From (1) we get

$$\phi(CLCpLqLq, \mathfrak{U}) = 1$$

and from this, together with (3),