

GENERALIZATION OF A RESULT OF HALLDÉN

ROBERT V. KOHN

We take M, \vee, \neg as primitive connectives; let \mathcal{L} be the set of all wffs in these connectives. We take the connectives $\wedge, \supset, \rightarrow, \equiv,$ and L to be defined in the usual ways. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}$, we write $\mathcal{L}[\alpha]$ for the smallest subset of \mathcal{L} containing α and closed under the connectives M, \vee, \neg . A *modal logic* is a proper subset of \mathcal{L} which is closed under the rules of uniform substitution and modus ponens, and contains all tautologies. If \mathbf{L}_1 and \mathbf{L}_2 are modal logics, then \mathbf{L}_1 is an *extension* of \mathbf{L}_2 iff $\mathbf{L}_2 \subseteq \mathbf{L}_1$. Let \mathbf{PC} denote the classical propositional calculus. For any wff $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}$, let $\hat{\alpha}$ be the wff of \mathbf{PC} obtained by erasing all occurrences of "M" in α .

Lemma *Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}[p]$, and suppose $\vdash_{\mathbf{PC}} \hat{\alpha} \supset p$. Then there is an $n \geq 1$ such that $\vdash_{\mathbf{S2}} \alpha \rightarrow M^n p$.*

Proof: First of all, notice that for any wffs γ, δ and any affirmative modality F , if $\vdash_{\mathbf{S2}} \gamma \rightarrow \delta$ then $\vdash_{\mathbf{S2}} F\gamma \rightarrow F\delta$; moreover, for each such F there is an n such that $\vdash_{\mathbf{S2}} Fp \rightarrow M^n p$. The proof now proceeds by induction, showing that the Lemma is true of both β and $\neg\beta$ for every $\beta \in \mathcal{L}[p]$. In the case $\beta = p$, the assertion of the Lemma is trivial for β and vacuous for $\neg\beta$. Suppose the Lemma has been verified for both γ and $\neg\gamma$. If β is $M\gamma$ and $\vdash_{\mathbf{PC}} \hat{\beta} \supset p$, then $\vdash_{\mathbf{PC}} \hat{\gamma} \supset p$, so by hypothesis there is an n such that $\vdash_{\mathbf{S2}} \gamma \rightarrow M^n p$. Then $\vdash_{\mathbf{S2}} M\gamma \rightarrow M^{n+1} p$. If β is $\neg M\gamma$ and $\vdash_{\mathbf{PC}} \hat{\beta} \supset p$, then $\vdash_{\mathbf{PC}} \neg \hat{\gamma} \supset p$. So there is an n such that $\vdash_{\mathbf{S2}} \neg \gamma \rightarrow M^n p$. Then $\vdash_{\mathbf{S2}} L\neg\gamma \rightarrow LM^n p$, so $\vdash_{\mathbf{S2}} \neg M\gamma \rightarrow M^{n+1} p$. Now suppose the Lemma has been verified for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \neg\gamma_1,$ and $\neg\gamma_2$. If β is $\gamma_1 \vee \gamma_2$ and $\vdash_{\mathbf{PC}} \hat{\beta} \supset p$, then $\vdash_{\mathbf{PC}} \hat{\gamma}_1 \supset p$ and $\vdash_{\mathbf{PC}} \hat{\gamma}_2 \supset p$. So there are n_1 and n_2 such that $\vdash_{\mathbf{S2}} \gamma_1 \rightarrow M^{n_1} p$ and $\vdash_{\mathbf{S2}} \gamma_2 \rightarrow M^{n_2} p$. Put $n = \max(n_1, n_2)$; then $\vdash_{\mathbf{S2}} \gamma_1 \vee \gamma_2 \rightarrow M^n p$. Now suppose β is $\neg(\gamma_1 \vee \gamma_2)$, and $\vdash_{\mathbf{PC}} \hat{\beta} \supset p$. Then $\vdash_{\mathbf{PC}} (\neg \hat{\gamma}_1 \wedge \neg \hat{\gamma}_2) \supset p$; since γ_1 and γ_2 are in $\mathcal{L}[p]$, it follows that $\vdash \neg \hat{\gamma}_i \supset p$ for either $i = 1$ or $i = 2$. Then by hypothesis, there is an n such that $\vdash_{\mathbf{S2}} \neg \gamma_i \rightarrow M^n p$, so $\vdash_{\mathbf{S2}} \neg(\gamma_1 \vee \gamma_2) \rightarrow M^n p$. The induction is now complete.

The modal logic \mathbf{Tr} of [2] is that modal logic which contains all $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\vdash_{\mathbf{PC}} \hat{\alpha}$. McKinsey [3] has shown that \mathbf{Tr} is the unique Post-complete extension of $\mathbf{S4}$.