

ON ACKERMANN'S THEORY OF SETS

DASHARATH SINGH

Two different proposals to clarify Cantor's intuitive definition of set along axiomatic lines have been made. One is the well-known theory of Zermelo and Von Neumann that a collection is a set only when it is not too large, i.e., the theory of limitation of sizes in Russell's terminology. The other is a recent system of Ackermann, *cf.* [2].

In this system; x, y, z, \dots are object variables (i.e., class variables). The primary formulae are ' $x = y$ ', ' $x \in y$ ' (x is a member of y) and $\mathbf{M}x$ (x is a set) in which in place of x and y one can use other class variables. Further formulae or expressions can be constructed in the usual fashion with the use of logical connectives: \neg (not), \wedge (and), \vee (or), \supset (implies), \equiv (equivalent), $(\forall x)$, $(\forall y)$, \dots (for all x , for all y , \dots) and $(\exists x)$, $(\exists y)$, \dots (there is an x , there is an y , \dots). In place of $x = y$, we will use $x \neq y$, $x \subset y$ will be used as an abbreviation for $(\forall z) [z \in x \supset z \in y]$, and $\sim x \in y$ will be written as $x \notin y$. We apply predicate calculus of the first degree, inclusive of calculus of equality, to these expressions (which do not contain any predicate variable). $A(x)$ is any expression which contains the free variable x ; $A_0(y)$ any expression which contains the free variable y and in which the sign \mathbf{M} does not occur.

The axiom system, based on Cantor's idea, contains four axiom schemata:

- (α) $(\forall x) [A(x) \supset \mathbf{M}x] \supset (\exists y)(\forall z) [z \in y \equiv A(z)]$.
- (β) $[x \subset y \wedge y \subset x] \supset x = y$.
- (γ) $[\mathbf{M}x_1 \wedge \mathbf{M}x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{M}x_n] \supset [(\forall y) [A_0(y) \supset \mathbf{M}y] \supset (\exists z) [\mathbf{M}z \wedge (\forall u) [u \in z \equiv A_0(u)]]]$.
- (δ) $[\mathbf{M}x \wedge [y \in x \vee y \subset x]] \supset \mathbf{M}y$.

(γ) is thereby so comprehended that x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are the free variables occurring in addition to y in $A_0(y)$. If these do not occur, $[\mathbf{M}x_1 \wedge \mathbf{M}x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{M}x_n]$ simply drops out. It embodies the restriction that 'not every class of sets is a set'. Here (α) is for class construction (only classes of sets are sets in some cases), (β) is usual axiom of extensionality