

DEGREES OF UNSOLVABILITY AND STRONG
 FORMS OF $\Lambda_R + \Lambda_R \not\equiv \Lambda_R$

THOMAS G. McLAUGHLIN

1 *Introduction* In [2], J. C. E. Dekker showed that the class Λ_R of *regressive isols* is not closed under addition (equivalently, in view of [2], Proposition P 18, Λ_R is not closed under multiplication) within the ring Λ^* ([4]) of isolic integers. In [1], Barback gave a different proof of additive non-closure which, in contrast to Dekker's proof, does not appeal to the notion of *degree of unsolvability* (of a regressive isol; see [2]). Since Barback's proof makes no use of degrees, one is naturally led to wonder whether the failure of additive closure for Λ_R is *totally independent of degree*, in the sense that if d_1 and d_2 are any given nonzero degrees of unsolvability then there exist retraceable sets α and β such that $\alpha \in d_1$, $\beta \in d_2$, and the respective isols A and B determined by α and β fail to have a regressive sum. In view of [5], Theorem T2 and [2], Proposition P 17, this is always the case when $d_1 \neq d_2$; so we need only concern ourselves with the realization of additive non-closure *within* a given degree. Barback's proof of additive non-closure can easily be embellished with enough auxiliary coding to produce one particular well-behaved class of degrees d for which the additive non-closure of Λ_R can be realized within d ; the class we here have in mind is $\{d \mid d \cong \phi''\}$. As an immediate corollary to the main result of section 3, we shall conclude that each element of the larger class $\{d \mid d \cong \phi'\}$ bears internal witness to the additive non-closure of Λ_R ($\{d \mid d \cong \phi'\}$ is well known to have the interesting property of being co-extensive with the range of the jump operator ([8])); thus, we have total independence of degree for additive non-closure of Λ_R *within the class* $\{d \mid d \cong \phi'\}$. (This much, in fact, is very easy to prove without any appeal to the limiting procedures employed in our proof of Theorem 3.1. Theorem 3.1, however, goes a bit further with respect to the form of the summands and the extent of their illrelatedness.) In section 4 we shall prove that the one-sidedness of condition (iii) in the statement of Theorem 3.1 is inescapable, at least for $n_0 = 0$. In section 5, we restrict our attention to the subsemilattice of *recursively enumerable* degrees; there we shall establish, by means of a suitable elementary priority construction, that, for the entire class \mathcal{F} , $\{-\phi\}$