Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic Volume XVIII, Number 2, April 1977 NDJFAM

## THE ONE-ONE EQUIVALENCE OF SOME GENERAL COMBINATORIAL DECISION PROBLEMS

## CHARLES E. HUGHES and W. E. SINGLETARY

1 Introduction A general combinatorial decision problem may be defined quite simply to be a family of related decision problems concerned with some class of combinatorial systems. E.g., the general halting problem for Turing machines is the family of halting problems ranging over all Turing machines. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two general combinatorial decision problems.  $G_1$  is said to be one-one (many-one) reducible to  $G_2$  if there exists an effective mapping  $\psi$  from the problems p in  $G_1$  into the problems  $\psi(p)$  in  $G_2$  such that p is of the same one-one (many-one) degree as  $\psi(p)$ . (Actually if p is solvable we only require that  $\psi(p)$  be also solvable.)  $G_1$ and  $G_2$  are said to be one-one (many-one) equivalent if each is one-one (many-one) reducible to the other. Recent research by the authors and Overbeek [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 10] has demonstrated the many-one equivalence of a large number of general combinatorial decision problems. In this paper\* we will show that some of these general decision problems are in fact one-one equivalent. Our method of proof, which has been used by Cleave [1] to study "system functions", is to show that each non-recursive instance of the general decision problems under consideration is a cylinder. Since many-one equivalence of cylinders implies one-one equivalence, the desired results are achieved.

**2** Cylinders and their properties Let R be an arbitrary recursively enumerable (r.e.) set. R is called a cylinder if the decision problem for membership in R is of the same one-one degree as that for the set of pairs  $\{\langle x, n \rangle | x \in R \text{ and } n \text{ is a natural number}\}$ . That is to say, R is a cylinder if it may be placed in an effective one-one correspondence with the cartesian

<sup>\*</sup>The research of the first author was partially supported under a faculty research grant from The University of Tennessee. The research of the second author was partially supported under NSF grants GP-23779 and GJ-35721.