

THE MODAL PREDICATE LOGICS  $PF^*F$ 

ROBERT L. WILSON

**1 Introduction** This paper introduces the five modal predicate logics  $PF^*F$  that correspond to the five modal propositional logics  $F^*F$  ( $F = L, W, S, D, E$ ) of [1]. The notation used here follows Smullyan ([2] Chs. IV, V) except where indicated and Wilson [1], and hence many details are omitted. In section 2 the semantics  $PF^*$  are discussed with emphasis on the semantics of quantified expressions. In section 3 the formal systems  $PF$  are given based again on Smullyan's 'analytic tableaux' [2]. In section 4 the semantical consistency and completeness proofs are illustrated with specific reference to  $PS^*S$ . In the final section, section 5 further points and problems are mentioned, the key one being the possible bearing of these logics  $PF^*F$  on 'traditional predication theory', at least when set against the back-cloth of Angelelli's observations in [3]. Throughout this paper, except where indicated, the index  $i$  ranges over 1, 2, 3, 4 and the index  $j$  ranges over 1, 2. (Similarly for  $i$  and  $j$ ).

**2 The Semantics  $PF^*$** 

**2.1** For the syntax of  $PF$ , we add to the syntax of  $F$  (omitting propositional variables and the functor ' $F$ '), the symbols ' $\forall$ ' and ' $\exists$ ', and denumerable lists of individual variables  $x, y, z, \dots$ ; individual parameters,  $a, b, c, \dots$  (the set  $\Pi$ ); and for each positive integer  $n$ ,  $n$ -ary predicates  $P, Q, R, \dots$  (in all cases with or without subscripts). We now give some definitions. An atomic formula of  $PF$  is defined as an  $(n + 1)$ -tuple  $Pv_1v_2 \dots v_n$  where  $P$  is any  $n$ -ary predicate and  $v_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are any individuals (i.e., variables or parameters).

We can then define a wff in  $PF$  by making use of the formation rules for  $F$ , see [1], together with the new rule: If  $A$  is a wff in  $PF$  and  $x$  is a variable then  $\forall xA$  and  $\exists xA$  are wff. The definition of wff in  $PF$  can be made explicit in the usual way. Signed wff (swff) in  $PF$  are analogous to swff in  $F$ . We now define a closed wff (cwff) in  $PF$  as follows:

$A$  is a cwff of  $PF$  if  $A$  is a wff of  $PF$  and for every variable  $x$  and every parameter  $a, A_a^x = A$ .