

A NOTE ON THOMASON'S REPRESENTATION OF S5

SATOSHI MIURA and SHIGEO ŌHAMA

Introduction S. K. Thomason has proved in [3] that a formula is provable in S5 iff all its substitution instances are in H , which is a unique correct set and is $\text{Thm}(\mathbb{C})$. In order to prove this, he semantically showed that a formula $A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is valid in S5 (tautology of S5 in the sense of Kripke [2], pp. 11ff.) iff $V^*(A(B_1, \dots, B_n)) = 1$ for all B_1, \dots, B_n in \mathcal{L}_c (modal language with proposition constants).

In this paper, we shall show by means other than Kripke's model that $A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is provable in S5 iff $\mu^*(A(B_1, \dots, B_n)) = 1$ for all classical formulas (without modal symbols), B_1, \dots, B_n , for all μ^* , where μ^* is essentially the same as V^* above, except that μ^* is a valuation for modal formulas with proposition variables. In the last section of this paper, we shall also show a relation between Kripke's partial truth tables and μ^* -valuations.

1 *Formulation of S5 and truth valuation* We prepare a countable set of proposition variables, Π , logical connectives, \vee, \sim, \Box , and parentheses, $(,)$. Formulas are defined as usual. For any formulas A and B , we define $A \wedge B$ as $\sim(\sim A \vee \sim B)$, $A \rightarrow B$ as $\sim A \vee B$, $A \leftrightarrow B$ as $(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow A)$, and $\Diamond A$ as $\sim \Box \sim A$. If A and B are formulas, the following expressions are axioms:

- (A1) $(A \vee A) \rightarrow A$.
- (A2) $B \rightarrow (A \vee B)$.
- (A3) $(A \vee B) \rightarrow (B \vee A)$.
- (A4) $(B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow ((A \vee B) \rightarrow (A \vee C))$.
- (A5) $\Box A \rightarrow A$.
- (A6) $\Box(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B)$.
- (A7) $\Diamond A \rightarrow \Box \Diamond A$.

When A and B are formulas, we suppose the following rules of inference:

- (R1) If $\vdash A$ and $\vdash A \rightarrow B$, then $\vdash B$.
- (R2) If $\vdash A$, then $\vdash \Box A$.

For any formula A , we say that A is a classical formula iff A contains none of \Box and \Diamond . $A(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ denotes a formula, A , having exactly n distinct