

## Illative Combinatory Logic Without Equality as a Primitive Predicate

M. W. BUNDER

**Introduction** Combinatory logic has the simplest formal framework of any system of logic or mathematics. It has a finite set of primitive constants (or obs) which include  $K$  and  $S$ , the basic combinators. There is only one very simple formation rule:

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are obs so is  $(XY)$ .

These obs include all the operators, expressions, terms, well-formed formulas, etc., of the system.

Pure combinatory logic has one predicate ( $=$ ). A predicate in this sense is not an obs, it allows us to make statements about obs.

If  $X$ ,  $Y$ , and  $Z$  are obs we assume the following axioms:

$$\begin{aligned} KXY &= X \\ SXYZ &= XZ(YZ) \end{aligned}$$

as well as the rules:

- ( $\sigma$ ) If  $X = Y$  then  $Y = X$ .
- ( $\tau$ ) If  $X = Y$  then  $Y = Z$  then  $X = Z$ .
- ( $\mu$ ) If  $X = Y$  then  $ZX = ZY$ .
- ( $\theta$ ) If  $X = Y$  then  $XZ = YZ$ .

Illative (i.e., applied) combinatory logic has additional constant obs (which could also be part of any pure system) and it has, in its usual form, an additional predicate  $\vdash$ , as well as some axioms and rules involving the new obs = and  $\vdash$ .

Having listed the primitive concepts of a formal theory many authors would then attempt to provide a semantics, i.e., they would explain these