

A GENERAL PROPOSITIONAL LOGIC OF CONDITIONALS

SCOTT K. LEHMANN

Many English conditionals are not truth-functional. It follows that the theory of logical consequence embodied in truth-functional formal logic has limited application to ordinary English arguments. For example, it cannot even explain the validity of modus ponens (**MP**) or the hypothetical syllogism (**HS**) when the conditionals involved are, say, subjunctive. A theory of wider application is obviously desirable. I propose here to develop a very general propositional theory of this sort.

Non-truth-functional accounts of the conditional are not of course new. Perhaps the best known are Lewis' systems of strict implication [1]. However, it would appear that Lewis' theories have even less to do with ordinary English than does truth-functional logic, for there are hardly any English 'if p , then q 's that mean " p implies q ". Nonetheless a variant of this implicational account seems to me correct (perhaps in virtue of its imprecision). Someone asserting 'if p , then q ' generally makes tacit appeal to some set of conditions which, together with the truth of ' p ', would yield the truth of ' q '. If these tacit conditions are expressed by a set Γ of sentences and 'yield' is taken to mean 'implies', we obtain the following semantics for the conditional: 'if p , then q ' is true iff ' q ' is a consequence of $\Gamma \cup \{p\}$ and the sentences of Γ are true. In section 1 a formal propositional logic of conditionals **C** is developed from this semantics. **C**'s Gentzen-style proof apparatus is shown to be complete in section 2. Section 3 concludes the paper with some metalogical remarks.

1 *Syntax and Semantics of C* The symbols of **C** are

- \vee \rightarrow , ()

plus an infinite decidable set of symbols distinct from these which shall be called 'sentence letters' but not further specified. An *expression* of **C** is any finite array of symbols of **C**. *Sentences* of **C** are defined through the following sequence of clauses:

1. Sentence letters are sentences of level 0.
2. If σ is a sentence of level k , $\lceil\sigma\rceil$ is a sentence of level k .

Received September 20, 1973