## Intension, Designation, and Extension

## **GARREL POTTINGER\***

It is not our business to set up prohibitions, but to arrive at conventions. Carnap<sup>1</sup>

**Introduction** This paper presents a semantical theory for free  $S_5$  in all finite types. That is, the system of logic determined by the theory is a modal logic which allows quantification over individuals, propositions, properties of individuals and propositions, and so on, without limit. Because the logic is free, it is not presupposed that any individuals exist and singular terms may be used even if they do not stand for anything.

The following features of the theory are worthy of note: (1) it permits one to formalize Descartes' version of the ontological argument; (2) it does not commit one to asserting that A and B express the same proposition as soon as one asserts  $\Box(A \equiv B)$  (the box should be glossed as "necessarily"); (3) the theory

<sup>\*</sup>Almost all of this paper was written while I was a member of the Department of History & Philosophy, Carnegie-Mellon University. The valuable criticism provided by the members of the CMU/Pitt Joint Logic Seminar, particularly Professors Peter Andrews, Dana Scott, and Richmond Thomason, led to much that is good in the paper, both as regards content and as regards exposition. The excellent text processing facilities available at CMU were an invaluable aid in producing the manuscript. I am also grateful to my students in philosophy of religion who, during the second semester of the 1982-83 academic year, bore being subjected to my efforts to invent mathematical theology with great good grace.

Finally, I am indebted to the referee for making me appreciate the fact that the version of the paper originally submitted was too sparely written to be intelligible. The ratio of symbols to prose is probably still too high, but it was a great deal higher before the revision he requested.

Errors and infelicities are, of course, my responsibility.