

Alphabetical Order

GEORGE BOOLOS*

We define an *alphabet* ξ to be a finite or countably infinite (von Neumann) ordinal greater than 1. ξ^* is the set of all finite sequences of members of ξ . We use 'a', 'b', 'c', ... as variables over members of ξ , 'x', 'y', 'z', ... as variables over members of ξ^* , and use juxtaposition to denote concatenation in the obvious way: e.g., if $x:m \rightarrow \xi$ and $y:n \rightarrow \xi$, then xay is the finite sequence $z:(m+1+n) \rightarrow \xi$ such that $z(i) = x(i)$ if $i < m$, $z(m) = a$, and $z(i) = y(j)$ if $i = m+1+j < m+1+n$. \emptyset is the empty finite sequence, which has domain 0.

Alphabetical order on ξ^* is the relation that holds between x and y iff either for some $z \neq \emptyset$, $y = xz$ or for some a, b, z, z', z'' , with $a < b$, $x = zaz'$ and $y = zbz''$. Alphabetical order on ξ^* is clearly a countable linear order in which every element x has the immediate successor $x0$. But it is not a well ordering: consider $\{\dots, 001, 01, 1\}$. Some further peculiarities of this order are stated in the last paragraph of this note.

We shall characterize the order type α of alphabetical order on ξ^* , which turns out not to depend on ξ . In fact, we have the following theorem.

Theorem *Let η be the order type of less-than on the rationals. Then $\alpha = \omega(1 + \eta)$.*

Proof: Let ξ^{*-} be the set of all nonempty sequences in ξ^* whose last element is some member of ξ other than 0. First note that R , the restriction to ξ^{*-} of alphabetical order on ξ^* , is dense (if $yRyzc$, then $c \neq 0$ and $yRyz0cRyzc$; if $zaz'Rzbz''$, then $zaz'Rzaz'1Rzbz''$) and lacks endpoints (if $c \neq 0$, then $z0cRzcRzcc$). By a famous theorem of Cantor's, since R is also a countable linear order, R is isomorphic to less-than on the rationals. Now observe that \emptyset is the alphabetically earliest member of ξ^* , $x0$ is the immediate alphabetical successor of x , and every element of ξ^* is either 0^m for some $m \geq 0$ or $x0^m$ for

*I am grateful to John Corcoran, Gregory Moore, and Stewart Shapiro for mentioning to me the problems of characterizing alphabetical order on a two- and a three-element alphabet.