

The Nonaxiomatizability of $L(Q_{\aleph_1}^2)$ by Finitely Many Schemata

SAHARON SHELAH* and CHARLES STEINHORN**

Abstract Under set-theoretic hypotheses, it is proved by Magidor and Malitz that logic with the Magidor–Malitz quantifier in the \aleph_1 -interpretation is recursively axiomatizable. It is shown here, under no additional set-theoretic hypotheses, that this logic cannot be axiomatized by finitely many schemata.

Magidor and Malitz [2] introduced the n -variable-binding quantifiers Q^n . The language $L(Q^n)$ is formed by adding Q^n to first-order predicate logic. For an infinite cardinal κ , $Q^n x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \varphi$ may be assigned the so-called κ -interpretation in a structure \mathfrak{M} , wherein $Q^n x_1 \dots x_n \varphi$ is satisfied if there exists an $A \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ of power κ that is homogeneous for φ , i.e., for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, $\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ holds in \mathfrak{M} . Among many other results Magidor and Malitz establish, under the set-theoretic axiom \diamond_{\aleph_1} , a completeness theorem for $L(Q^n)$ in the \aleph_1 -interpretation (hereafter $L(Q_{\aleph_1}^n)$). Unfortunately, the complete axiom system for $L(Q_{\aleph_1}^n)$ exhibited in [2] lacks the simplicity of, e.g., Keisler's set of axioms for $L(Q_{\aleph_1}^1)$ (cf. [1]).

This paper, a sequel to [3], demonstrates that this failure of simplicity is not without reason. It will be shown here, without additional set-theoretic hypotheses, that $L(Q_{\aleph_1}^2)$ cannot be axiomatized by finitely many schemata. Even more strongly, we prove:

Theorem 1 *No collection of axiom schemata of bounded quantifier depth suffices to axiomatize $L(Q_{\aleph_1}^2)$.*

This result is due to the first author (Shelah). He communicated it via notes to the second author (Steinhorn), who then prepared this paper. The proof of

*Partially supported by the United States–Israel Binational Science Foundation.

**Partially supported by a grant from NSERC of Canada and the N.S.F.