

ON POINT VALUES OF BOEHMIANS

V. KARUNAKARAN AND R. VEMBU

ABSTRACT. The notion of a value of a Bohmian at a point, its properties and the concept of regular delta sequences are available in the literature. Let \mathcal{E} be a Banach space. Denote by $C(\mathbf{R}^N, \mathcal{E})$ the space of all continuous \mathcal{E} -valued functions on \mathbf{R}^N and by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ the space of all infinitely differentiable real-valued functions with compact support in \mathbf{R}^N . Using $C(\mathbf{R}^N, \mathcal{E})$ as the top space and the usual delta sequences from $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ we can construct in a canonical way a Bohmian space $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^N, \mathcal{E})$. In 1994, Piotr Mikusiński and Mourad Tighiouart asserted that, if for every representation $[f_n/\phi_n]$ of $F \in \mathcal{B}$ where (ϕ_n) is regular delta sequence we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x_0) = a$, then $F(x_0) = a$. In this paper we shall point out that the proof of this theorem contains an error, produce a counterexample to show that the theorem is not valid and obtain modified conditions for its validity. As a consequence we shall also show that if $F = [f_n/\phi_n]$ where (ϕ_n) is a delta sequence made of one function and if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x_0) = a$ for every such representation, then F need not have a value at x_0 . Incidentally, this observation settles one of the questions raised by Piotr Mikusiński and Mourad Tighiouart.

1. Introduction. The concept of Boehmians was first introduced and studied in [3]. Various spaces of Boehmians and their properties were available in the literature, for example, see [2]. In [4] the notion of a value of a Bohmian at a point was defined and its properties were studied. Further related results can be found in [1]. An equivalent condition for a Bohmian to have a value at a point is claimed in Theorem 2.4 of [4]. We shall first point out that the proof of this theorem contains an error. In addition we shall produce a counterexample and establish that this theorem is not valid. We shall also explain how the hypothesis of this theorem must be modified for

2000 AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 44A40, Secondary 46F05, 44A35.

Key words and phrases. Boehmians, convolution quotient, Schwartz distributions, point value.

The work of the second author is supported by an FIP Fellowship from UGC, India.

Received by the editors on July 24, 2001, and in revised form on October 26, 2001.