

## GEODESICS AND CURVATURE OF MÖBIUS INVARIANT METRICS

DAVID A. HERRON, ZAIR IBRAGIMOV AND DAVID MINDA

**ABSTRACT.** We confirm that certain circular arcs are geodesics for both the Ferrand and Kulkarni-Pinkall metrics. We demonstrate that ‘most’ Kulkarni-Pinkall isometries are Möbius transformations. We analyze the generalized Gaussian curvatures of these metrics. We exhibit numerous illustrative examples.

**1. Introduction.** This article is a continuation of [5, 6] wherein we studied a Möbius invariant metric  $\mu_\Omega(z)|dz|$  introduced by Kulkarni and Pinkhall [8] as a canonical metric for Möbius structures on  $n$ -dimensional manifolds. In [5] we employed the definition given below, see subsection 2.E, and corroborated various properties of this metric using classical function theory. In [6] we established pointwise and uniform estimates between the Kulkarni-Pinkall metric and the hyperbolic and quasi-hyperbolic metrics.

Here we examine both the Kulkarni-Pinkall metric and a related metric first studied by Ferrand in [3]. We show that certain curves are always geodesics for these metrics, confirm that many Kulkarni-Pinkall isometries are Möbius transformation, and investigate the generalized Gaussian curvatures of both metrics. We also prove a number of basic facts concerning the Kulkarni-Pinkall metric.

Throughout this paper  $\Omega$  is a region on the Riemann sphere  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  with at least two boundary points. Circular geodesics are one of the central objects of our study: we call  $\Gamma$  a *circular geodesic* in  $\Omega$  if there exists a disk  $D$  in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  with  $D \subset \Omega$  and such that  $\Gamma$  is a hyperbolic geodesic line in  $D$  with endpoints in  $\partial D \cap \partial\Omega$ . (See below for all definitions.)

---

2000 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 30F45, Secondary 30C55, 30F30.

*Keywords and phrases.* Poincaré hyperbolic metric, Kulkarni-Pinkhall metric, quasi-hyperbolic metric.

The first and third authors were supported by the Charles Phelps Taft Research Center.

Received by the editors on September 28, 2005, and in revised form on February 14, 2006.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2008-38-3-891 Copyright ©2008 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium