## **A METRIC FOR WEAK CONVERGENCE OF DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS<sup>1</sup>**

## **DAVID A. SIBLEY**

Let  $\Delta$  denote the set of distribution functions, that is, left-continuous nondecreasing functions from the real line into [0, 1]. The set of distribution functions of random variables (functions in  $\Delta$  with sup 1 and inf 0) will be denoted by  $\Delta_{\text{rv}}$ . The following facts are well known (see, **e.g.,[2]).** 

1. The space  $\Delta$  is sequentially compact with respect to weak convergence. That is, any sequence of functions in  $\Delta$  has a weakly convergent subsequence (Helly's First Theorem). However,  $\Delta_{\rm rv}$  does not have this property.

2. The set  $\Delta$  is a metric space under the Lévy metric L defined for any F, G in  $\Delta$  by

$$
L(F, G) = \inf\{h; F(x - h) - h \leq G(x) \leq F(x + h) + h \text{ for all } x\}.
$$

3. If  $(F_n)$  is a sequence in  $\Delta_{rv}$  and *F* is also in  $\Delta_{rv}$  then  $F_n$  converges weakly to F iff  $L(F_n, F) \to 0$ . Thus for sequences in  $\Delta_{\rm rv}$  whose limit is also in  $\Delta_{\rm rv}$  weak convergence and convergence in the L-metric are equivalent. The hypothesis that the limit belong to  $\Delta_{\rm rv}$  is necessary, for there are sequences in  $\Delta_{\text{rv}}$  which converge weakly to a limit in  $\Delta$ but do not converge in the Lévy metric. (One such sequence is discussed in this paper.)

Statement 3 shows that the relationship between weak convergence (in the sense of Helly's First Theorem) and convergence in the metric L is unsatisfactory. This state of affairs is due to the fact that the Lévy metric is sensitive to what happens at  $+ \infty$  and  $- \infty$ , while weak convergence is not. The purpose of this paper is to show that a modification of the Lévy metric yields a metric for  $\Delta$  for which convergence corresponds precisely to weak convergence.

For any F, G in  $\Delta$  and  $h > 0$ , define the properties

(1)  $A(F, G; h)$  iff  $F(x - h) - h \leq G(x)$  for  $- 1/h < x < 1/h + h$ ,

(2)  $B(F, G; h)$  iff  $F(x+h)+h \geq G(x)$  for  $-h - 1/h < x < 1/h$ , and let

Copyright © Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

Received by the editors October 10, 1969.

*AMS* 1969 *subject classifications.* Primary 6020; Secondary 5435.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Some of these results were part of the author's Senior Honors Thesis written under the direction of Professor Berthold Schweizer at the University of Massachusetts.