

## PRIMARY COHOMOLOGY OPERATIONS IN $BSJ$

ROBERT R. CLOUGH

**I. Introduction.** The study of fiber spaces and fiber bundles has led to several different definitions of equivalence. Two of the most important are "fiber homotopy equivalence" for Hurewicz spherical fiber spaces [8, p. 100] and "bundle equivalence" for spherical fiber bundles [8, p. 92]. If  $X$  is a reasonable space, then the set of classes of stable oriented spherical Hurewicz fiber spaces over  $X$  is a group, called  $\tilde{K}SF(X)$ ; also the set of classes of stable oriented spherical fiber bundles is a group, called  $\tilde{K}SO(X)$ .

The contravariant functors  $\tilde{K}SF$  and  $\tilde{K}SO$  are representable. This means that there are spaces  $BSF$  and  $BSO$  such that there exist natural isomorphisms  $[\ ; BSF] = \tilde{K}SF$  and  $[\ ; BSO] = \tilde{K}SO$  when the functors are restricted to a reasonable class of spaces.

For the rest of this introduction, we shall use slightly nonstandard notation. This will serve two purposes. First, it will help to distinguish between the  $J$  homomorphism and the contravariant functor which  $J$  induces. Second, it will allow our notation to be consistent.

There is a natural transformation  $J: \tilde{K}SO \rightarrow \tilde{K}SF$ , namely the map that associates to each class of bundles over  $X$  the class of fiber spaces which includes it. This transformation is the stable  $J$ -homomorphism. We use the symbol  $\tilde{K}SJ(X)$  to denote the group  $J[\tilde{K}SO(X)]$ . Thus  $\tilde{K}SJ$  is a contravariant functor (usually denoted by  $J$ ). Adams has shown that  $\tilde{K}SJ$  is not a representable functor [1].

Recently there has been some interest in spaces which are "approximately" classifying spaces for the functor  $\tilde{K}SJ$ . No space does the job perfectly, the argument goes, but some spaces do a better job than others. A good approximation should behave as much as possible as  $BSJ$  would behave if it existed. For example, because of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{K}SO & \xrightarrow{J} & \tilde{K}SF \\ & \searrow J \nearrow & \\ & \tilde{K}SJ & \end{array}$$

there should exist a corresponding homotopy commutative diagram

---

Received by the editors October 25, 1969.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 5534, 5550, 5732.

Copyright © Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium