

## CONTINUOUS APPROXIMATION METHODS FOR THE REGULARIZATION AND SMOOTHING OF INTEGRAL TRANSFORMS

R.P. BENNELL AND J.C. MASON

**ABSTRACT.** Continuous approximation methods are described for obtaining a numerical solution  $f(t)$  to an integral transform  $g(s) = \int K(s,t)f(t)dt$ , where the given function  $g(s)$  may be affected by noise and where the problem may be ill-posed. The approximate solution is expressed in the linear form  $f^* = \sum a_j \phi_j$ , where  $a_j$  are parameters and  $\phi_j$  are certain basis functions; the values of  $a_j$  are determined by the minimization of a regularising/smoothing measure, which takes account of both the discrete  $l_2$  error in the integral transform and the continuous  $L_2$  norm of  $f^*$  or of one of its derivatives. A Generalized Cross-Validation technique, based on the work of G. Wahba, is used for determining the smoothing parameter, and efficient algorithms are developed for three specific sets of basis functions  $\{\phi_j\}$ , including a novel algorithm when  $\{\phi_j\}$  are chosen to be a set of eigenfunctions. Numerical examples are given to compare the merits of the various algorithms. In the case where the function  $g(s)$  is not affected by noise, the established "Method of Truncated Solutions" is adopted and an improved version of this method, based on  $B$ -splines, is described and then tested on numerical examples.

**1. Introduction.** Consider the Fredholm integral equation of the first kind

$$(1) \quad \int_a^b K(s,t)f(t)dt = g(s), \quad c \leq s \leq d,$$

where  $K(s,t)$  is a given kernel and  $g(s)$  is a given function, (the range  $[c,d]$  of values of  $s$ , does not necessarily coincide with the range of integration  $[a,b]$ ).

We may write (1) in the form of an operator equation

$$(2) \quad Kf = g \quad \text{where } K : F \rightarrow G,$$

---

Received by the editors on October 15, 1986.

Copyright ©1989 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium