## HYPERBOLIC OPERATORS IN SPACES OF GENERALIZED DISTRIBUTIONS

## SALEH ABDULLAH

Hyperbolic operators were investigated by L. Ehrenpreis [4] in the space of Schwartz distributions and by C. C. Chou [3] in the spaces of Roumieu ultradistributions. In this paper we study hyperbolic operators in spaces of Beurling generalized distributions. (See [1] and [2]).

Let D', E',  $D'_{\omega}$ ,  $E'_{\omega}$  be the spaces of distribution, distributions with compact support, generalized distributions and generalized distributions with compact support in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , respectively.

DEFINITION. The convolution operator S,  $S \in E'_{\omega}$ , is said to be  $\omega$ -hyperbolic with respect to t > 0 (resp. t < 0) if there exists a fundamental solution  $E^+$  (resp.  $E^-$ ),  $E^+$ ;  $E^- \in D'_{\omega}$ , so that supp  $E^+ \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}: t \ge -b_0 + b_1|x|\}$  for some  $b_0$ ,  $b_1 > 0$  (resp. supp  $E^- \subset \{(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}: t \le b_0 - b_1|x|\}$  for some  $b_0$ ,  $b_1 > 0$ ).

An operator is said to be  $\omega$ -hyperbolic if it is  $\omega$ -hyperbolic with respect to t > 0 and t < 0. This definition coincides with the definition of hyperbolicity introduced by Ehrenpreis [4, Theorem 2] for Schwartz distributions.

For the notation and the properties of generalized distributions we refer to [2]. Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_c$  (see [2, Definition 1.3.23]). Using Proposition 1.2.1 of [2] we could extend  $\omega$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n$  without losing any of its original properties; we will assume that  $\omega$  is the extended function. We use the estimate

(1) 
$$\omega(\xi) = o(|\xi|\log|\xi|), \text{ as } |\xi| \to \infty,$$

from which it follows that

(2) 
$$\omega(\xi) \le M(1 + |\xi|),$$

for some constant M.

Following Ehrenpreis we prove the following theorem which characterizes  $\omega$ -hyperbolic operators. The theorem and its proof will be given in the case of  $\omega$ -hyperbolicity with respect to t > 0, the other case could be proved similarly.