## **CONVEX POLYTOPES AND RETRACTIONS OF ABELIAN GROUPS**

## **WALTER S. SIZER**

**Introduction.** For any group  $G$ , let  $F(G)$  denote the semigroup of finite non-empty subsets of G. A semigroup homomorphism  $\sigma: F(G) \to G$ satisfying  $\sigma({g}) = g$  for all g in G is called a *retraction* of G. The notion of a group admitting a retraction generalizes the notion of a latticeordered group because in any lattice-ordered group the mapping  $A \rightarrow \wedge A$ is a retraction (cf., [1]). This example of a retraction induced by a lattice order has the property that the effect of the mapping on *F(G)* is determined uniquely by its effect on two element subsets. This is not so for all retractions, and [1, example 6.1], gives an instance where two distinct retractions agree on all two element subsets. The question of whether distinct retractions can agree on sets of cardinality less than or equal to *n* for arbitrary *n* is dealt with in this paper.

Also, in looking at a retraction  $\sigma$  on a group G, the notion which corresponds to that of an l-subgroup is the notion of a  $\sigma$ -subgroup—a subgroup *H* of *G* such that  $\sigma$  restricted to  $F(G)$  is a retraction of *H*. In this paper we also deal with the question of whether a subgroup *H of G* with the property that all sets in  $F(H)$  of cardinality less than *n* get mapped by  $\sigma$  to *H* must necessarily be a  $\sigma$ -subgroup.

Our approach considers only retractions of divisible abelian groups and builds on observations made in [3] and **[4].** In the process of studying retractions we get a correspondence between retractions and homomorphisms from a semigroup of convex polytopes in  $Q<sup>n</sup>$  to  $Q<sup>n</sup>$ , so some of our results are essentially geometric in nature.

**I. Retractions and convex polytopes.** Throughout, *G* will be a torsion free divisible abelian group, hence a rational vector speace. For convenience we take *G* to be of finite rank.

If  $\sigma$  is any retraction of G, and A, B, C are sets satisfying  $A + C =$  $B + C$ , then  $\sigma(A) = \sigma(B)$ . Hence for A, B in  $F(G)$ , we define  $A \sim B$  if there is a C in  $F(G)$  with  $A + C = B + C$ . The following proposition is then easy to verify.

Received by the editors on January 13, 1981, and in revised form on May 17, 1982. Copyright © 1983 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium