

DISTRIBUTIVE, MODULAR AND SEPARATING ELEMENTS IN LATTICES

P. R. JONES

Given the importance of distributive lattices as a class, it was a natural step to consider distributivity of elements in an arbitrary lattice L . For instance an element d is called *distributive* if $d \vee (x \wedge y) = (d \vee x) \wedge (d \vee y)$ for all $x, y \in L$, and *separating* if $d \vee x = d \vee y$ and $d \wedge x = d \wedge y$ together imply $x = y$. An important early result was that in a modular lattice any distributive (or dually distributive) element is in fact *neutral*, that is, distributive, dually distributive and separating. A deeper result is that the same is true in weakly modular lattices [3, §III. 2].

In this paper the above result is extended in other directions, notably in M -symmetric and " θ -modular" lattice. To do this we introduce some notions which might be considered as "modularity" of elements in a fashion similar to that for "distributivity" above. For instance an element d of L is *left [right] modular* if $d M a \ [a M d]$ for all $a \in L$, and *weakly separating* if $d \vee x = d \vee y$, $d \wedge x = d \wedge y$ and $x \leq y$ together imply $x = y$. Such elements do indeed arise (in a nontrivial manner) in congruence lattices, for example.

The first main result proved is that in an M -symmetric lattice, any element which is both distributive and dually distributive is neutral. Given the lack of duality inherent in M -symmetry, this is perhaps the strongest result that might be expected. On the other hand it is shown that in an M -symmetric algebraic lattice satisfying DCC, any dually distributive element is neutral. Counterexamples show that these results cannot be extended.

In the final section the concept of " θ -modularity", introduced (in a rather special context) by Spitznagel [7], is considered and its relationship with the earlier concepts is demonstrated. Roughly speaking, given an equivalence θ on the lattice L , L is θ -modular if each of its elements weakly separates each θ -class. (Thus a modular lattice is θ -modular for any θ). The case in which we are most interested corresponds to the equivalence $\theta_d = \{(a, b) \in L \times L : a \vee d = b \vee d\}$, when d is an arbitrary element of L . Our main result here is that if d is distributive (so that θ_d is in fact a congruence on L) and if L is θ_d -modular, then d must be neutral.

In a sequel [5] these results will be used in a discussion of congruence