

A REFINEMENT OF SHARPLY F -PURE AND STRONGLY F -REGULAR PAIRS

KARL SCHWEDE

ABSTRACT. We point out that the usual argument used to prove that R is strongly F -regular if and only if R_Q is strongly F -regular for every prime ideal $Q \in \text{Spec } R$, does not generalize to the case of pairs (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) . The author's definition of sharp F -purity for pairs (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) suffers from the same defect. We therefore propose different definitions of sharply F -pure and strongly F -regular pairs. Our new definitions agree with the old definitions in several common contexts, including the case that R is a local ring.

1. Introduction. The notion of a strongly F -regular ring was introduced by Hochster and Huneke in [10] because it was easily seen to be well behaved with respect to localization (this is in contrast to weak F -regularity). It later was discovered that strongly F -regular rings (in characteristic $p > 0$) were closely related to rings with Kawamata log terminal singularities (in characteristic 0), see [4, 7, 17]. However, the notion of Kawamata log terminal singularities extends to pairs (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) where $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ is an ideal and $t > 0$ is a real number. Therefore, it was natural to ask whether there is an analogous notion of strong F -regularity for pairs (R, \mathfrak{a}^t) .

In [16], Takagi gave such a definition and proved that it satisfied many properties similar to Kawamata log terminal singularities (also see [7, 19]). In fact, by using this characteristic $p > 0$ definition, Takagi was able to prove remarkable results in characteristic zero for which there are still no known characteristic zero proofs, see for example [16, Theorem 4.1]. We now state this definition:

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 13A35, 14B05.

Keywords and phrases. Tight closure, test ideal, strongly F -regular, sharply F -pure, singularities of pairs.

The author was partially supported by a National Science Foundation postdoctoral fellowship.

Received by the editors on August 15, 2009, and in revised form on December 28, 2009.

DOI:10.1216/JCA-2010-2-1-91 Copyright ©2010 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium