

Reduction of models over a discrete valuation ring

By

Hiroshi YANAGIHARA

Communicated by Prof. M. Nagata, Dec. 20, 1962.

Let \mathfrak{o} be a discrete valuation ring of a field k and let \mathfrak{p} be the maximal ideal of \mathfrak{o} . Then the notion of a model over \mathfrak{o} , defined by Nagata in [4], may be considered in a sense as a complex notion of two models defined over different fields k and $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$ respectively. Let M be a model defined over \mathfrak{o} . Then M is a set of spots which dominate \mathfrak{o} or k . If we denote by M_k the set of all the spots in M which dominate k , M_k is an open subset of M and is a model defined over k . On the other hand the closed subset $M - M_k$ corresponds naturally to a (not necessarily irreducible) model defined over $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$. Moreover each spot of $M - M_k$ is obtained as a specialization of one of M_k over \mathfrak{o} . Then there arises naturally a question that how the structure of M_k as a model over k is reflected in that of $M - M_k$ as a model over $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$ in this specialization process over \mathfrak{o} . This work is initiated by this question.

On the other hand, an algebraic variety defined over k is equivalent to a model defined over k (cf. Chapter 1, §9 in [4]), and a theory of the reduction of algebraic varieties of any dimension with respect to a valuation \mathfrak{p} of a basic field k was developed by Shimura in [8]. In Shimura's theory, the reduction of a variety V is naturally obtained, roughly spoken, by the reduction of defining equations for V , if V is an affine variety or a projective variety with a "fixed system of coordinates". However when V is an abstract variety, the reduction of V depends on a choice of affine representatives of V . In other words it is impossible to