## ON THE ROGERS-RAMANUJAN IDENTITIES AND PARTIAL q-DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

BY

George E. Andrews<sup>1</sup>

## 1. Introduction

Perhaps the easiest proof of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities is the one expounded (in two different forms) by Rogers and Ramanujan [4]. The main idea is to show that two apparently different q-series both satisfy the q-difference equation

(1.1) 
$$f(z) - f(zq) - zqf(zq^2) = 0.$$

It is an easy matter to show that if f(z) is analytic at z = 0 and f(0) = 1, then f(z) is uniquely determined by (1.1). This implies that the two q-series in question are actually identical, and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities follow by specializing z.

The object of this paper is to give a proof of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities which hinges almost entirely on showing that two systems of partial q-difference equations are compatible (i.e. any set of solutions for one system is a set of solutions for the other). In the final section of the paper, we discuss the extension of this technique to other problems in the theory of partitions and q-series identities.

## 2. Compatible *q*-difference equations

**DEFINITION.** Consider the systems of r equations

$$F_i(f_1(x, y), \dots, f_n(x, y), f_1(xq, y), \dots, f_n(xq, y), f_1(x, yq), \dots, f_n(xq, yq), f_1(xq, yq), \dots, f_n(xq, yq)) = 0,$$

and

$$G_{j}(f_{1}(x, y), \cdots, f_{n}(x, y), f_{1}(xq, y), \cdots, f_{n}(xq, y), f_{1}(x, yq), \cdots, f_{n}(x, yq), f_{1}(xq, yq), \cdots, f_{n}(xq, yq)) = 0,$$

where  $1 \le i \le s, 1 \le j \le t$ . These two systems are said to be *compatible* in case every solution set  $\{f_1(x, y), \dots, f_n(x, y)\}$  of analytic functions in x and y for one system is a solution set for the other system.

LEMMA 1. Consider the partial q-difference equation

(2.1)  $\sum_{j=0}^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{s} a_{j,k}(x, y) f(xq^{j}, yq^{k}) = b(x, y),$ 

Received November 20, 1969.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Partially supported by a National Science Foundation grant.