RELATIVE CHOW GROUPS

BY

STEVEN E. LANDSBURG

We shall propose a definition for the relative Chow groups of a scheme with respect to a closed subscheme and establish some basic properties. The definition generalizes to provide a definition of relative *higher* Chow groups as well.

In Section 1 we recall for reference the most important relationships between the classical (absolute) Chow groups and algebraic K-theory. In Section 2 we describe analogous relationships for the higher Chow groups introduced by Bloch in [B]. (These are canonically isomorphic to the higher "PreChow groups" of [L].) The results here are of independent interest. In Section 3 we introduce relative analogues of many important constructions. In Section 4 we define the relative Chow groups and relative higher Chow groups. We establish their basic properties and their relationship to K-theory, emphasizing the analogies between this material and that of Sections 1 and 2.

1. Absolute Chow groups and algebraic K-theory

We begin by recalling, for later reference, some of the main properties of the usual (absolute) Chow groups, particularly those that relate the Chow groups to algebraic K-theory.

1.1. Let X be a regular scheme essentially of finite type over a field k. (Regularity can be relaxed in much of what follows.) We have the following invariants:

 $Z^{m}(X)$, the group of codimension-*p* algebraic cycles on *X*. That is, $Z^{m}(X)$ is free abelian on those reduced and irreducible closed subschemes of *X* that have codimension *m*.

 $Ch^m(X) = Z^m(X)/R^m(X)$, the *m*th Chow group of X. Here $R^m(X) \subset Z^m(X)$ is the subgroup consisting of cycles rationally equivalent to zero.

 $K_m(X)$, the *m*th Quillen K-group of X.

© 1991 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois Manufactured in the United States of America

Received October 31, 1988

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 19E15; Secondary 14C25.