ON SEMIMARTINGALE DECOMPOSITIONS OF CONVEX FUNCTIONS OF SEMIMARTINGALES

BY

ERIC CARLEN¹ AND PHILIP PROTTER²

Let X be a semimartingale with values in \mathbf{R}^d , and let $X_t = X_0 + M_t + A_t$ be a decomposition of X into a local martingale M and a càdlàg, adapted, finite variation process A, with $M_0 = A_0 = 0$. Let $f: \mathbf{R}^d \to \mathbf{R}$ be convex. P.A. Meyer showed in 1976 [6] that f(X) is again a semimartingale. We will give a new proof of this result which moreover gives the semimartingale decomposition of f(X) in terms of uniform limits of explicitly identified processes.

The case where d=1 is already well understood. Indeed, the Meyer-Tanaka formula allows us to give an explicit decomposition of f(X):

(1)
$$f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \int_0^t f'(X_{s-}) dM_s + \left\{ \int_0^t f'(X_{s-}) dA_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} L_t^a \mu(da) + \sum_{0 \le s \le t} \left(f(X_s) - f(X_{s-}) - f'(X_s) \Delta X_s \right) \right\},$$

where f' is the left continuous version of the derivative of f, L^a_t is the local time of X at the level a, the measure μ is the second derivative of f in the generalized function sense, and the term in brackets $\{\cdots\}$ is the finite variation term in a decomposition of f(X). See [8] for details on this formula. Moreover in the case d=1 if B is a standard Brownian motion and f(B) is a semimartingale, then f must be the difference of two convex functions (see [3]), hence convex functions are the most general functions taking semimartingales into semimartingales.

We now turn to the case $d \ge 2$, where $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex. Except in very special cases (see [2], [4], [5], [7], [9], [10]) no formula such as (1) is known to exist, except of course when f is \mathcal{C}^2 , and then the Meyer-Itô formula gives

Received October 23, 1990.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60H05; Secondary 60G44, 60G48.

¹NSF Postdoctoral Fellow.

²Supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.