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7. Introduction. Given a large prime $N$, consider the Jacobian variety $J_{0}(N)$ of the modular curve $X_{0}(N)=\mathbf{H} / \Gamma_{0}(N)$. There has been considerable study recently of the rank over $\mathbf{Q}$ of these varieties and their quotients, much of it dealing with two questions:

- Are there large quotients of $J_{0}(N)$ with rank zero over the rationals?
- How large a rank can a quotient of $J_{0}(N)$ have?

See Mazur [9] and Merel [10] for arithmetic approaches to the first question; one consequence of the latter is the existence of a quotient with rank zero and dimension at least $N^{1 / 8}$ (out of a maximum possible dimension of $D_{N}=N / 12+\mathcal{O}(1)$, since $N$ is prime). The progress of Kolyvagin [8] and Gross and Zagier [6] toward the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture allows one to investigate these questions through the "analytic rank" of $J_{0}(N)$. This is defined (see, for example, [1]) to be the order of the zero at $s=1$ of $L\left(J_{0} / \mathbf{Q}, s\right)=\prod_{f} L_{f}(s)$, where the product is taken over $L$-functions associated with the Hecke eigenbasis of $S_{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(N)\right)$, the weight- 2 holomorphic forms on $X_{0}(N)$ (of which there are $D_{N}$ ). In particular, if one can show that many of the $L_{f}(s)$ 's have order zero or one, then the corresponding quotients provide good answers to each of the questions. Along these lines, Duke [4] has used first and second moments of $L$-functions to show that at least $N /(\log N)^{2}$ are nonzero at $s=1$, which along with Kolyvagin's results may be used to give a corresponding improvement in the answer to the first question.

One can also use Riemann's explicit formula for the $L_{f}$ 's (see Mestre [11]) to control the average order at $s=1$, although this approach requires (at least at present) an appeal to the Riemann hypothesis to obtain the desired bounds. In recent papers, Brumer [1] and Murty [12] have used these techniques to show that (assuming the
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