CRITICAL VALUES OF THE TWISTED TENSOR L-FUNCTION IN THE IMAGINARY QUADRATIC CASE

EKNATH GHATE

1. Introduction. The twisted tensor L-function of f, which we denote by G(s, f), is a certain Dirichlet series associated to a quadratic extension of number fields K/F and a cuspidal automorphic function f over K. It was introduced in [1] by Asai, following previous work of Shimura, in the case when f is a Hilbert modular cusp form over a real quadratic extension K of \mathbf{Q} .

In the past twenty-odd years, this L-function has been considered more generally: for instance, [11] and [12] deal with quadratic extensions of totally real fields, [17] with imaginary quadratic extensions of \mathbf{Q} , and [3], [4, appendix], and [14] with general quadratic extensions of number fields. All these papers have been primarily concerned with establishing analytic properties of G(s, f)analogous to those in [1], such as meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane, location and finiteness of the number of poles, and functional equation.

The aim of this paper is to prove a rationality result for G(s, f) in the imaginary quadratic setting. If K is an imaginary quadratic field and f a cusp form associated to K, we establish that there is a *period* $\Omega_j(f)$ such that

$$\frac{G(j,f)}{\Omega_j(f)} \in E$$

for a finite set of integers *j* depending on the weight of *f*. Here *E* is a finite extension of the number field generated by the Fourier coefficient of *f*. Moreover, the period $\Omega_j(f)$ is the product of a fixed (probably transcendental) constant $\Omega(f)$, a power of $2\pi i$ depending on *j*, and a certain Gauss sum.

If we assume that G(s, f) is motivic, we may interpret our result in the framework of Deligne's famous conjectures on motivic L-functions, outlined in [2]. Indeed, assuming that there is a rank-2 motive associated to f defined over K, the integers j above are the critical integers (in the sense of [2]) in the right half of the critical strip of the appropriately defined motive, whose L-function is G(s, f). Moreover, the general shape of the period $\Omega_j(f)$ is predicted by this conjectural framework (see Proposition 3 and Remarks 3 and 4).

Unfortunately, since it is only conjectured that G(s, f) is motivic, we cannot realize $\Omega_j(f)$ as a motivic period. Rather, we follow an alternative program, outlined by Hida in [10], to define a period independently of the motivic setting.

Received 8 August 1996.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F67.