

THE INFINITESIMAL ABEL-JACOBI MAPPING AND MOVING THE $\mathcal{O}(2) + \mathcal{O}(-4)$ CURVE

HERBERT CLEMENS

0. Introduction. If C is a smooth rational curve embedded in a smooth projective surface S , then there are only two possibilities:

- (1) $C^2 < 0$, in which case the conormal bundle $\mathcal{I}_C/\mathcal{I}_C^2$ is positive, so that $H^1(\mathcal{I}_C^n/\mathcal{I}_C^{n+1}) = 0$ and global sections of \mathcal{I}_C on a formal neighborhood of C give a contraction $S \rightarrow S_0$ which maps C to a point and takes $(S-C)$ isomorphically onto the rest of S_0 . ([2] is a general reference for formal contraction criteria.)
- (2) $C^2 \geq 0$, in which case $h^0(N_{C/S}) > 0$ and $h^1(N_{C/S}) = 0$. So C must move in S .

Suppose now that a smooth rational curve C is embedded in a smooth threefold V . One can ask the analogous question, is it always true either that C contracts or that C , or perhaps some multiple of C , moves? The purpose of this paper is to answer that question in the negative.

Our method will be to choose a situation in which the normal bundle $N_{C/V}$ is incompatible with the existence of a contraction, namely, a situation in which K_V is trivial but $H^1(N_{C/V}^*) \neq 0$. (See [4, Lecture 16].) We find a family of such V and show that the monodromy representation on $H^3(V)$ is irreducible for this family, so that the Abel-Jacobi mapping for deformations of mC in V must be trivial for generic V . On the other hand, we specialize V to a (singular) V_0 for which the derivative of the (generalized) Abel-Jacobi map is nonzero, thereby obtaining a contradiction of the assumption that deformations of mC exist for generic V .

Now $N_{C/V}^* = \mathcal{O}(a) + \mathcal{O}(b)$, $a + b = 2$, so there are only three cases in which $H^1(N_{C/V}^*) = 0$. Work of H. Laufer and M. Reid shows that C either contracts or moves in two of these cases. Only the case $a = -1$, $b = 3$, remains to be settled.

The author would like to thank J. Kollár for suggesting this problem and for many helpful conversations during several attempts at its solution. The author would also like to thank the referee for several good suggestions to improve the exposition.

1. The infinitesimal Abel-Jacobi mapping. We begin by discussing a relation between the Hodge theory of a complex projective variety V and families of subvarieties of V .

An algebraic family F of subvarieties of V is given by a subvariety $E \subseteq F \times V$ such that restriction of the first projection, $p: E \rightarrow F$, is a proper morphism to an

Received October 22, 1988. Revision received February 21, 1989.