## ON THE φ-MIXING CONDITION FOR STATIONARY RANDOM SEQUENCES

## RICHARD C. BRADLEY, JR.

Summary. Let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \ldots$  be the dependence coefficients associated with the  $\varphi$ -mixing condition for a given strictly stationary random sequence. If the sequence is mixing, then either  $\varphi_n \rightarrow 0$  or  $\varphi_n \equiv 1$ . An extension is given of a theorem of Kesten and O'Brien on the rate at which  $\varphi_n$  can approach 0.

Let  $(X_k, k = ..., -1, 0, 1, ...)$  be a strictly stationary sequence of realvalued random variables on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . For any collection Y of r.v.'s let  $\mathfrak{B}(Y)$  be the Borel field generated by Y. For  $-\infty \leq J \leq L \leq \infty$  let  $\mathfrak{F}_J^L = \mathfrak{B}(X_k, J \leq k \leq L)$ . For any two  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  define

$$\varphi(\mathfrak{A},\mathfrak{B}) = \operatorname{Sup}|P(B|A) - P(B)| \qquad A \in \mathfrak{A}, B \in \mathfrak{B}, P(A) > 0.$$

For each  $n \ge 1$  let  $\varphi_n = \varphi(\mathcal{F}^0_{-\infty}, \mathcal{F}^\infty_n)$ . The  $\varphi$ -mixing condition of I. A. Ibragimov (1959) is:  $\varphi_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Starting with Ibragimov [1], numerous limit theorems and invariance principles have been proved under the  $\varphi$ -mixing condition, sometimes requiring additional conditions on the rate at which  $\varphi_n \to 0$ ; see, for example, the results referred to on pp. 26–29 of W. Philipp and W. Stout [4]. In the case where  $(X_k)$ is an aperiodic Markov chain with countable irreducible state-space, if  $\varphi_n < 1$ for some *n* then  $\exists C > 0$  and a > 0 such that  $\varphi_n \leq Ce^{-an} \forall n$  (see M. Rosenblatt [5], pp. 209–212). It was once an open question whether  $\varphi_n$  had to approach 0 exponentially fast in the general case, but then H. Kesten and G. L. O'Brien [3] showed that on the contrary  $\varphi_n$  can approach 0 arbitrarily slowly.

Before stating our results we need some definitions. We assume T is an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -measurable P-measure-preserving automorphism of  $\Omega$ , and U is the operator on  $\mathfrak{F}$ -measurable r.v.'s defined by Uf(w) = f(Tw). We assume that  $X_n = U^n X_0$  for every integer n. Let  $S = T^{-1}$ . For any event A let  $l_A$  denote its indicator function; if  $A \in \mathfrak{F}_J^L$ , then  $SA \in \mathfrak{F}_{J+1}^{L+1}$  and  $Ul_A = l_{SA}$ . Also, for each  $n \ge 1$  let  $\varphi_n^* = \varphi(\mathfrak{F}_n^\infty, \mathfrak{F}_{-\infty}^0)$ ; these  $\varphi_n^*$ 's are simply the  $\varphi_n$ 's for

Also, for each  $n \ge 1$  let  $\varphi_n^* = \varphi(\mathfrak{F}_n^\infty, \mathfrak{F}_{-\infty}^0)$ ; these  $\varphi_n^*$ 's are simply the  $\varphi_n$ 's for the sequence  $(Y_k)$  defined by  $Y_k = X_{-k}$ , and in their article Kesten and O'Brien called attention to an example of  $(X_k)$  for which  $\varphi_n \to 0$  but  $\varphi_n^* \to 0$ . Here we will prove these two theorems:

THEOREM 1. If  $(X_k)$  is strictly stationary and mixing  $(\forall A, B \in \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^{\infty}, P(A \cap S^n B) \rightarrow P(A)P(B)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), then either  $\varphi_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  or  $\varphi_n = 1 \ \forall n$ .

Received November 8, 1979. Revision received January 28, 1980. This research was partially supported by NSF Grant MCS 79-05811.