DOMINATION OF SEMIGROUPS AND GENERALIZATION OF KATO'S INEQUALITY

H. HESS, + R. SCHRADER AND D. A. UHLENBROCK†

§1 Introduction

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space and consider the corresponding real and complex L^2 -spaces $\mathcal{H} = L^2_{\mathbb{R}}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{H} = L^2_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$. \mathcal{H} becomes an ordered Hilbert space (see e.g. Faris [7]) through the proper, closed cone \mathcal{H}^+ , consisting of all functions in \mathcal{H} which take (μ -essentially) nonnegative values. For any f in \mathcal{H} or \mathcal{H} we set

$$|f|(\omega) = |f(\omega)| \tag{1}$$

and

$$(\operatorname{sign} f)(\omega) = \begin{cases} f(\omega)/|f(\omega)| & \text{if } f(\omega) \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$
 (2)

which gives a polar decomposition

$$f = \operatorname{sign} f \cdot |f| \tag{3}$$

A contraction semigroup $\exp - tH$ on \mathcal{H} is said to be positivity preserving, if it maps \mathcal{H}^+ into itself. In the selfadjoint case, Simon [15] recently showed that this property holds precisely if H obeys Kato's inequality, i.e. if $f \in \mathcal{D}(H^{1/2})$ implies $|f| \in \mathcal{D}(H^{1/2})$ and for $f \in \mathcal{D}(H)$, $g \in \mathcal{D}(H^{1/2}) \cap \mathcal{H}^+$

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle H^{1/2}g, H^{1/2}|f|\rangle \leq \operatorname{Re}\langle (\operatorname{sign} f) g, Hf\rangle$$
 (4)

In the proof he made use of a certain equivalent quadratic criterion going back to Beurling and Deny [3], [4]. Related results had been obtained by Aronszajn-Smith [1]. Simon also generalized this criterion to the situation where two self-adjoint semigroups $\exp - tH$ on \mathcal{H} and $\exp - tK$ on \mathcal{H} are related to each other by the domination relation.

$$|(\exp - tH) f| \le \exp - tK|f| \quad f \in \mathcal{H}$$
 (5)

and showed that this implies $|f| \in \mathcal{D}(K^{1/2})$ if $f \in \mathcal{D}(H)$ as well as

$$\langle K^{1/2}g, K^{1/2}|f|\rangle \leq \operatorname{Re}\langle (\operatorname{sign} f) g, Hf\rangle$$

 $f \in \mathcal{D}(H), \quad g \in \mathcal{D}(K^{1/2})$

He then conjectured that conversely this infinitesimal condition would imply inequality (5).

Received June 16, 1977. Revision received September 2, 1977.

- †) Supported in part by grant NSF-MCS-76-06669
- +) Predoctoral fellow of the Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes