

# MAGIC LABELINGS OF GRAPHS, SYMMETRIC MAGIC SQUARES, SYSTEMS OF PARAMETERS, AND COHEN-MACAULAY RINGS

RICHARD P. STANLEY

## 1. Introduction.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite graph allowing loops and multiple edges, so that  $\Gamma$  is a *pseudograph* in the terminology of [5]. Let  $E = E(\Gamma)$  denote the set of edges of  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathbf{N}$  the set of non-negative integers. A *magic labeling of  $\Gamma$  of index  $r$*  is an assignment  $L : E \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$  of a non-negative integer  $L(e)$  to each edge  $e$  of  $\Gamma$  such that for each vertex  $v$  of  $\Gamma$ , the sum of the labels of all edges incident to  $v$  is  $r$  (counting each loop at  $v$  once only). We will assume that we have chosen some fixed ordering  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_q$  of the edges of  $\Gamma$ ; and we will identify the magic labeling  $L$  with the vector  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_q) \in \mathbf{N}^q$ , where  $\alpha_i = L(e_i)$ .

Let  $H_\Gamma(r)$  denote the number of magic labelings of  $\Gamma$  of index  $r$ . It may happen that there are edges  $e$  of  $\Gamma$  that are always labeled 0 in any magic labeling. If these edges are removed, we obtain a pseudograph  $\Delta$  satisfying the two conditions: (i)  $H_\Gamma(r) = H_\Delta(r)$  for all  $r \in \mathbf{N}$ , and (ii) some magic labeling  $L$  of  $\Delta$  satisfies  $L(e) > 0$  for every edge  $e$  of  $\Delta$ . We call a pseudograph  $\Delta$  satisfying (ii) a *positive pseudograph*. By (i) and (ii), in studying the function  $H_\Gamma(r)$  it suffices to assume that  $\Gamma$  is positive. A magic labeling  $L$  of  $\Gamma$  satisfying  $L(e) > 0$  for all edges  $e \in E(\Gamma)$  is called a *positive magic labeling*. Any undefined graph theory terminology used in this paper may be found in any textbook on graph theory, e.g., [5].

In [14] the following two theorems were proved.

**THEOREM 1.1.** [14, Thm. 1.1]. *Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite pseudograph. Then either  $H_\Gamma(r) = \delta_{0,r}$  (the Kronecker delta), or else there exist polynomials  $P_\Gamma(r)$  and  $Q_\Gamma(r)$  such that  $H_\Gamma(r) = P_\Gamma(r) + (-1)^r Q_\Gamma(r)$  for all  $r \in \mathbf{N}$ .*

**THEOREM 1.2** [14, Prop. 5.2]. *Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite positive pseudograph with at least one edge. Then  $\deg P_\Gamma(r) = q - p + b$ , where  $q$  is the number of edges of  $\Gamma$ ,  $p$  the number of vertices, and  $b$  the number of connected components which are bipartite.*

For reasons which will become clear shortly, we define the *dimension* of  $\Gamma$ , denoted  $\dim \Gamma$ , by  $\dim \Gamma = 1 + \deg P_\Gamma(r)$ . In [14, p. 630], the problem was raised of obtaining a reasonable upper bound on  $\deg Q_\Gamma(r)$ . It is trivial that  $\deg Q_\Gamma(r) \leq \deg P_\Gamma(r)$ , and [14, Cor. 2.10] gives a condition for  $Q_\Gamma(r) = 0$ . Empirical evidence suggests that if  $\Gamma$  is a "typical" pseudograph, then  $\deg Q_\Gamma(r)$

Received November 11, 1975.