

# CONTINUITY OF POSITIVE AND MULTIPLICATIVE FUNCTIONALS

SHU-BUN NG AND SETH WARNER

Our purpose here is to prove a theorem whose consequences show that the validity of certain well-known theorems about Banach and Fréchet locally multiplicatively-convex algebras depends only on the fact that the underlying topology of the algebra is complete and metrizable.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $H$  be a complete metrizable abelian topological group whose composition is denoted additively, and let  $s$  be a continuous function from  $H$  into  $H$  such that  $s(0) = 0$ . If  $f$  is a homomorphism from  $H$  into the additive group of the topological field  $\mathbf{R}$  of real numbers such that for some  $K > 0$ ,  $f(x)^2 \leq Kf(s(x))$  for all  $x \in H$ , then  $f$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is not continuous. Then  $f$  is not continuous at zero, so there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and a sequence  $(a_k)_{k \geq 0}$  in  $H$  such that  $\lim a_k = 0$  but  $f(a_k) \geq \epsilon$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Let  $m$  be a natural number such that  $m \geq K/\epsilon$  and let  $g: H^2 \rightarrow H$  be defined by  $g(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + m \cdot s(x_2)$ . We define  $g_k: H^{k+1} \rightarrow H$  recursively as follows:  $g_0$  is the identity function on  $H$  and

$$(1) \quad g_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) = g(x_1, g_{k-1}(x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}))$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ . Clearly each  $g_k$  is continuous. An inductive argument establishes that

$$(2) \quad g_k(x_1, \dots, x_k, 0) = g_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

for all  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $(V_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero in  $H$  satisfying

$$(3) \quad V_{n+1} + V_{n+1} \subseteq V_n$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ . We shall define recursively a subsequence  $(b_n)_{n \geq 0}$  of  $(a_k)_{k \geq 0}$  as follows. Let  $b_0 = a_0$ ; if  $b_0, \dots, b_n$  are defined and if  $b_n = a_{k_n}$ , let  $b_{n+1} = a_r$  where  $r$  is the smallest  $t > k_n$  such that

$$g_{n-k+1}(b_k, \dots, b_n, a_t) - g_{n-k+1}(b_k, \dots, b_n, 0) \notin V_{n+1},$$

$0 \leq k \leq n$ ; such a choice of  $r$  is possible by the continuity of the  $g_{n-k+1}$ 's and by the fact that  $\lim a_k = 0$ . Thus by (2)

$$(4) \quad g_{n-k+1}(b_k, \dots, b_n, b_{n+1}) - g_{n-k}(b_k, \dots, b_n) \notin V_{n+1},$$

Received January 18, 1972.