AN INVERSION THEOREM FOR THE LAPLACE TRANSFORM

By Z. Ditzian and A. Jakimovsky

1. Introduction and main results. The Laplace-Stieltjes transform f(s) of a function $\alpha(t)$ of bounded variation in each finite interval [0, R], R > 0, is defined by

$$f(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} d\alpha(t) = \lim_{R \uparrow \infty} \int_0^R e^{-st} d\alpha(t).$$

The Laplace transform f(s) of a function $\varphi(t) \in L_1(0, R)$ for each R > 0, is defined by

$$f(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \varphi(t) \ dt = \lim_{R \uparrow \infty} \int_0^R e^{-st} \varphi(t) \ dt.$$

We suppose that the abscissa of convergence σ_c satisfies $\sigma_c > -\infty$. In a recent paper Bilodeau [1, Theorem 3.2], obtained an inversion formula for the Laplace transform f(s) expressing $\varphi(x)$ for x > 0 by means of the sequence $\{f^{(n)}(1)\}(n \ge 0)$ if $\sigma_c < 1$ and $\varphi(t)$ is of bounded variation in a neighborhood of x and $t^{-3/4}\varphi(t) \in L_1(0, \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. In this paper we obtain an inversion formula for the Laplace–Stieltjes transform expression $\alpha(x)$ and $\alpha^{(r)}(x)$ (if it exists for some x > 0) by means of the sequence $\{f^{(n)}(x_0)\}(n \ge 0)$ where x_0 is any point satisfying $x_0 > \sigma_c$.

The formal idea used in this paper is the following. Suppose $\alpha(t)$ is absolutely continuous, $\varphi(t) \equiv \alpha'(t)$ and that $h_n(z, a)$ is an entire function in z for each $n \geq 0$ and each $a, 0 \leq a < \infty$. For $x_0 > \sigma_c$ define

$$e^{x \circ z} h_n(z, a) \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}(a) z^m.$$

Then we have formally

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m a_{nm}(a) f^{(m)}(x_0) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{nm}(a) \int_0^{\infty} t^m e^{-x_0 t} \varphi(t) dt$$
$$= \int_0^{\infty} h_n(t, a) \varphi(t) dt.$$

If the sequence $\{h_n(t, a)\}(n \ge 0)$ is a suitable kernel of a singular integral [6], then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_0^\infty h_n(t, a)\varphi(t) dt = \varphi(a);$$

Received April 22, 1966; in revised form, November 30. The authors wish to thank the referee for the valuable suggestion to use the Laplace asymptotic method in the proof of Lemma 3.3.