

# THE LEBESGUE DECOMPOSITION

BY R. B. DARST

Our purpose is to present a Lebesgue decomposition theorem extending both C. E. Rickart's theorem: [2], and the theorem of [1]. This consists in establishing the theorem of [1] in a setting where the monotone norm condition of [1, Definition 2.3] is replaced by a form of C. E. Rickart's  $s$ -bounded condition [2, Lemma 2.2].

Suppose  $G$  is a generalized complete normed abelian group and  $T$  is a Boolean algebra of projection operators on  $G$ . (Throughout this paper we assume familiarity with [2] and [1].) Moreover, if each of  $a$  and  $b$  is an element of  $T$ ,  $a \leq b$ , and  $g \in G$ , then we suppose  $\|a(g)\| \leq \|b(g)\|$ .

An element  $f$  of  $G$  is said to be  $s$ -bounded if for every sequence  $\{t_i\}$  of pairwise disjoint elements of  $T$ ,  $t_i(f) \rightarrow 0$ .

If a bounded element  $f$  of  $G$  has the property of Definition 2.3 of [1] with respect to  $T$ , then  $f$  is  $s$ -bounded. Moreover, the subspace of  $l_\infty(I)$ , where  $I$  denotes the set of positive integers, consisting of the sequences converging to zero, together with the projections on finite subsets of  $I$  and their complements (see Example 2.1 of [1]), comprise an example to illustrate that  $s$ -boundedness is, in fact, a weaker condition on bounded elements than we used in [1].

C. E. Rickart's theorem fits into our setting as follows: The elements  $g$  of  $G$  are vector-valued functions defined on a "nice system"  $S$  of sets. The elements  $t$  of  $T$  are projections induced by the elements  $E$  of  $S$  (i.e., if  $t$  corresponds to  $E$ , then for every  $F \in S$ ,  $(tg)(F) = g(E \cap F)$ ). The norm  $\|g\|$  of the elements  $g$  of  $G$  is  $\sup \{\|g(E)\|; E \in S\}$ . Finally,

$$\|t'(g)\| = \sup \{\|g(F - E)\|; F \in S\},$$

where  $t$  corresponds to  $E$  and  $t'$  denotes the complement of  $t$ .

Henceforth  $f$  shall denote a bounded and  $s$ -bounded element of  $G$ .

LEMMA 1. *If  $\{t_i\}$  is a monotone sequence of elements of  $T$ , then  $\lim_i t_i(f)$  exists.*

*Proof.* Suppose, for instance,  $\{t_i\} \uparrow$  in  $T$ . Moreover, suppose  $\lim_i t_i(f)$  does not exist. Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and an increasing sequence  $\{n_k\}$  of positive integers such that  $\|(t_{n_{k+1}} - t_{n_k})(f)\| > \epsilon$ . But this contradicts our basic supposition that  $f$  be  $s$ -bounded.

LEMMA 2. *If  $\{t_k\}$  is a sequence of elements of  $T$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that if  $j \geq i > n$ , then*

$$\|(\bigvee_{i \leq k \leq j} t_k - \bigvee_{k \leq n} t_k)(f)\| < \epsilon.$$

Received October 8, 1962.